

## Occurrence of *Fusarium* species in asymptomatic barley plants grown in field conditions under differential phosphate regimes

Mariela Echeverría<sup>1,\*</sup>, Maria Virginia Moreno<sup>2</sup> and Carla Caputo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CONICET-Universidad de Buenos Aires, Instituto de Investigaciones en Biociencias Agrícolas y Ambientales (INBA), Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>2</sup>CONICET-BIOLAB (CICBA-INBIOTEC-CONICET), Facultad de Agronomía (UNCPBA), Microbiología, Rep. de Italia No. 780, Azul B7300BZD, Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Occurrence of genus *Fusarium* as endophytes in roots of healthy barley plants cultivated in fields under two levels of phosphorous (P) was examined. *F. avenaceum*, *F. graminearum*, *F. konzum*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. sacchhari*, *F. scirpi*, *F. solani* were isolated from asymptomatic surface sterilized root tissues. *F. konzum* and *F. solani* were only present in the roots of fertilized plants, whereas *F. sacchhari* was present in unfertilized plants. *F. scirpi* was isolated in highest frequency and relative density, and was higher under P deficiency suggesting that P availability would determinate the occurrence of this species, suggesting the possibility of their association with stressed plants. This is the first report on the occurrence of *Fusarium* spp. as endophytes in healthy barley plants. However, it remains to be determined whether *Fusarium* spp. isolated are nonpathogenic forms and beneficial to barley plants or latent pathogens that could produce disease symptoms in other conditions.**

**Keywords:** Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), endophytic fungi, *Fusarium* species, latent pathogens, nutritional regime.

*FUSARIUM* is a genus of filamentous fungi distributed in soil and associated with plants mainly as pathogens. The species that integrate this genus can range from nine to well over 80 depending on the taxonomy followed<sup>1</sup>. Its members are saprotrophic principally. The pathogenic nature of the fungus is the main concern in agricultural production because of diseases caused on crops such as *Fusarium* head blight, *Fusarium* wilt, etc.<sup>2</sup>.

Endophytic microorganisms establish an asymptomatic infection in the internal tissue of plants, and the colonization is demonstrated by isolation from superficially disinfected tissue, among others<sup>3</sup>. In a functional sense, endophytic micro-organisms grow out of surface-sterilized apparently healthy plant organs when cultured in appropriate media<sup>4</sup>. Petrini<sup>5</sup> proposed to extend the term 'endophyte' to account for those latent pathogens

that can live asymptotically in their hosts for some time in their life. This endophyte–host interaction is an equilibrated antagonism in which the asymptomatic state depends on a balance between fungal virulence and plant defences<sup>6</sup>, and the environmental conditions<sup>7</sup>, i.e. the disease triangle. Although the *Fusarium* genus is not primarily recognized for establishing endophytic colonization in plants, under this definition it could be considered 'opportunistic' endophytes. Indeed, there are evidences documenting such infections in both wild and cultivated plants<sup>4,8,9</sup>.

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is an important food grain for both animals and humans, and it is currently the fourth most grown cereal worldwide after wheat, corn and rice. In Argentina, malting barley farming has been evolving to be an attractive commercial option in the international market and benefits the soil structure for rotation with other crops<sup>10</sup> (<http://www.minagri.gob.ar/new/0-0/programas/dma/granos/Informe-de-cebada.pdf>). In recent years, Argentina has established itself as the main exporter of barley and malt for beer production in South America<sup>11</sup>. However, one of the problems in malting barley marketing is the possible contamination of grains with fungi especially *Fusarium* species that cause outbreaks of gushing<sup>12</sup>.

In search of fungal root endophytes from healthy barley plants, typical morphotypes of species belonging to the genus *Fusarium* were found. Therefore, the aim of this study was to analyse the occurrence, frequency and species relative diversity of this genus in barley plants cultivated in the field to evaluate *Fusarium* spp. colonization as influenced by phosphorus nutrition.

During September 2010, eight composite roots/soil samples were collected from healthy commercial barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. cv. Scarlett) crops located in the Pampas region (Junín, Buenos Aires, Argentina; 34°38'S, 60°56'W). Before raising the crop the soil pH, the moisture level of the soil at different depths (0–20, 20–40 and 40–60 cm), total carbon (Ct), organic matter (OM), nitrates (0–20, 20–40 and 40–60 cm) and phosphorus (0–20 cm) contents were determined, and nitrogen available to plants (N–NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) was derived (Table 1). The crop was grown under two levels of phosphorus (unfertilized and fertilized with 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), in plots (4 × 12 m size) laid out in randomized blocks with four replications per treatment. In each sampling block, five subsamples separated from each other by 3–5 m, and at 5 cm from barley plants were collected. A sub-sample was a cylindrical core (2.5 cm diameter and 15 cm deep) of soil containing roots of barley plants which was removed with a hand soil borer. The samples were placed in plastic bags for transport to the laboratory, and stored at 4°C until processed (approximately 5 days after collection). Sub-samples from each block were mixed to obtain the composite roots/soil samples. Percentage of mycorrhizal

\*For correspondence. (e-mail: mecheverria@agro.uba.ar)

**Table 1.** Chemical analysis of soil

DPT (cm)	pH	Ct (%)	OM (%)	P (ppm)	NO <sub>3</sub> (ppm)	MSTR (%)	N-NO <sub>3</sub> (kg/ha)
0–20	5.9	1.06	1.83	17.7	26	23	15
20–40					25	18	14
40–60					17	15	10
Total							39

DPT, Depth; Ct, Total carbon; OM, Organic matter content; P, Phosphorus content; NO<sub>3</sub>: Nitrate content; MSTR, Moisture level of the soil; N-NO<sub>3</sub>, Nitrogen available to plants.

root colonization was estimated according to McGonigle *et al.*<sup>13</sup>.

To ensure that roots were free of lesions, stereomicroscopic and visual inspections were first performed. The isolate of endophytic *Fusarium* species, healthy roots (segments of 2–3 cm) of barley plants were rinsed in running tap water, immersed in ethanol 75% (v/v) for 40 s, followed by sodium hypochlorite 1.5% (v/v) for 7 min and finally rinsed three times with sterile-distilled water. Roots were cut into segments of 5 mm (root tips were discarded because of low fungal colonization observed microscopically) under aseptic conditions and transferred to a petri dish containing 1.5% agar-water (w/v) medium supplemented with chloramphenicol (0.3 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) and streptomycin (0.3 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) to prevent bacterial growth. Six root segments per petri dish were placed. A total of 48 petri dishes (24 per treatment, 6 per block) were sealed with plastic film to avoid desiccation, incubated at 27°C in the dark and observed periodically. Hyphae emerging from segment tips were sub-cultured onto fresh potato dextrose agar (PDA) for purification of isolates. To ensure that the sterilization method was successful some segments of 2–3 cm were placed in the agar petri dish and incubated at the same conditions with no hyphae appearing.

The isolates with similar colony morphology resembling that of *Fusarium* species were sub-cultured onto standard media used in the identification of *Fusarium* spp., carnation leaf-piece agar (CLA)<sup>14</sup>, and PDA tubes. Petri dishes were incubated for 6 to 15 days in a controlled chamber at 25°C under 12 h light/dark conditions. The *Fusarium* species were morphologically identified according to the taxonomic keys proposed by Nelson *et al.*<sup>15</sup>, and Leslie and Summerell<sup>1</sup>.

To avoid possible mistaken identification at morphological level, a molecular identification from monospore culture was performed. Representative isolates selected at random were cultured in petri dishes with 2% PDA for 7 days at 25°C under 12 h light/dark conditions. The mycelia were harvested and the genomic DNA was extracted using the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol<sup>16</sup>. The DNA quality was examined by electrophoresis in 0.8% (w/v) agarose gels containing GelRedTM (Biotium) at 80 V in 1× Trisborate-EDTA buffer for 3 h at room temperature. The DNA was visualized under UV

light. DNA concentrations were calculated using a fluorometer (QubitTM-Invitrogen).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analyses (XP Thermal Cycler, BIOER Technology) using available species-specific primers for *F. oxysporum*<sup>17</sup>, *F. avenaceum* and *F. equiseti*<sup>18</sup> were made and compared with positive controls. Products from PCR reactions were examined by electrophoresis in 1.5% (w/v) agarose gels containing GelRedTM (Biotium) at 80 V in 1× Trisborate-EDTA buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Fragments were visualized under UV light. The size of DNA fragments was estimated by comparing the DNA bands with a 100 bp DNA ladder (Genbiotech SRL).

The frequency of isolation (Fr) and relative density (RD) for each *Fusarium* sp. was calculated according to Marasas *et al.*<sup>19</sup>. RD data were subjected to two-way analysis of variance using treatment, species and the possible interaction among these factors as variation source. Means were compared by Tukey's test. This analysis was done using a free version of the INFOSTAT software<sup>20</sup>.

A total of 288 root segments obtained from apparently healthy/asymptomatic barley plants were used for isolating possible endophytic fungal species, of which 216 presented hyphal growth, yielding a total of 325 isolates (more than one isolate per root piece). The number of isolates obtained in the treatment without phosphorous (-P) was 184 (56.6%), and 141 (43.4%) fungal isolates were recovered from the fertilized one (+P). Among the 184 isolates collected from blocks without P, 21 belonged to *Fusarium* genus (11.4%). Likewise, 8 isolates of the 141 isolates from P fertilized treatment belonged to the genus *Fusarium* (5.7%) (Table 2). Of the *Fusarium* isolates obtained in this study, seven species of *Fusarium* were identified: *F. avenaceum*, *F. graminearum*, *F. konzum*, *F. oxysporum*, *F. sacchari*, *F. scirpi* and *F. solani*. *F. scirpi* was obtained at the highest frequency in both the treatments (Table 2).

Two-way ANOVA showed a statistically significant interaction between both factors (treatment × species) indicating that the different species were not distributed equally between treatments (Table 3). Post-hoc test indicated that the interaction comes from the fact that the RD of *F. scirpi* between treatments is significantly different (*t*-test, *P* = 0.004).

**Table 2.** Frequency and relative density of endophytic *Fusarium* species isolated from root of asymptomatic barley plants

	Incidence of <i>Fusarium</i> spp.											
	Block samples						<i>Fusarium</i> isolates					
	Number			Frequency (%)*			Number			Relative density (%)**		
	-P	+P	Total	-P	+P	Total	-P	+P	Total	-P	+P	Total
<i>F. avenaceum</i>	2	1	3	50	25	37.5	3	1	4	14.3 <sup>a</sup>	12.5 <sup>a</sup>	13.8
<i>F. graminearum</i>	1	1	2	25	25	25	3	1	4	14.3 <sup>a</sup>	12.5 <sup>a</sup>	13.8
<i>F. konzum</i>	0	1	1	0	25	12.5	0	1	1	0 <sup>a</sup>	12.5 <sup>a</sup>	3.45
<i>F. oxysporum</i>	1	1	2	25	25	25	1	1	2	4.75 <sup>a</sup>	12.5 <sup>a</sup>	6.9
<i>F. sacchari</i>	1	0	1	25	0	12.5	1	0	1	4.75 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	3.45
<i>F. scirpi</i>	4	2	6	100	50	75	13	2	15	61.9 <sup>b</sup>	25 <sup>a</sup>	51.7
<i>F. solani</i>	0	1	1	0	25	12.5	0	2	2	0 <sup>a</sup>	25 <sup>a</sup>	6.9
Total <i>Fusarium</i>	4	2	6	100	50	75	21	8	29	11.4***	5.7***	8.9***
Total isolates							184	141	325	56.6***	43.4***	100***

\*Frequency (%) = (number of soil samples with occurrence of a *Fusarium* species/total number of samples) × 100; \*\*Relative density (%) = number of isolates of each *Fusarium* spp./Total number of *Fusarium* spp. isolates) × 100; \*\*\*Relative density (%) = number of isolates of *Fusarium* spp. or isolates/total number of isolates) × 100. The values displayed in Fr and RD are averages of the 4 blocks. Statistically significant differences are shown with different lowercase letters.

**Table 3.** Two-way ANOVA performed from relative density of Table 2

Source of variation	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Treatment	1	714.29	714.29	3.901	0.0549
Species	6	9725.51	1620.92	8.852	<0.0001
Treatment × species	6	6647.73	1107.95	6.050	0.0001
Error	42	7691.16	183.12		
Total	55	24778.68			

DF, Degrees of freedom; SS, sum of squares; MS, mean sum of squares; F, *F*-statistic; P, *P*-value.

Relative density of *F. scirpi* in unfertilized treatment (-P) was significantly higher than RD of any other *Fusarium* spp. isolated from either treatment (Table 2). In contrast, differences in this parameter between the remaining six *Fusarium* spp. isolated were not observed.

The number of *Fusarium* species isolated per soil sample ranged from 0 to 4. All soil samples recollected from unfertilized treatment (-P) showed at least one species of *Fusarium*, and two soil samples collected from P-fertilized did not yield any *Fusarium* spp. (Table 2). In treatment without P, both *F. konzum* and *F. solani* were not present, whereas in the fertilized treatment, *F. sacchari* was the only species not present. The remaining *Fusarium* spp. were isolated from both the fertilized and the unfertilized treatment. *F. scirpi* was present in all soil samples with *Fusarium* species isolated (Table 2).

Endophytic fungi inhabit the inner tissue of living plants without causing any negative effect, and occur in almost all plants on earth<sup>21,22</sup>. This colonization can be demonstrated by isolation from superficially disinfected tissue<sup>3</sup>. In a functional sense, these microorganisms can be cultured on appropriate media<sup>4</sup>. Most endophytes are horizontally transmitted to their hosts, but a few are also vertically transmitted<sup>23,24</sup>, and once inside the host, they

assume a quiescent or latent state either for an extended period of time or for the whole lifetime of the host plant<sup>22,25</sup>.

Petrini<sup>5</sup> proposed to extend the term 'endophyte' to account for those latent pathogens that can live asymptotically in their hosts for some time in their life. Therefore, when a fungus is isolated as an endophyte it does not exclude the possibility that it can be a weak pathogen or a virulent strain detected during quiescence<sup>6,26</sup>.

This concept of endophytism includes infections described by plant pathologists as short endophytic phases or latent infections. Thus, there are several *Fusarium* endophytes with short endophytic phases that become symptomatic pathogenic infections when conditions are appropriate and also *Fusarium* with endophytic nonpathogenic infections that never develop into symptomatic<sup>4,27</sup>. Under this definition, *Fusarium* species isolated in this study could be considered 'opportunistic' endophytes which never become pathogenic under different conditions from here assessed, as was reported earlier<sup>28,29</sup>.

The isolation of several species of *Fusarium* from different organs of asymptomatic plants has been reported in various wild and cultivated plant species<sup>4,29-31</sup>, but not from barley plants. It is known that *F. oxysporum* has

both pathogenic and non-pathogenic associations with plants<sup>32–36</sup>, and is the most studied species that has been frequently isolated from soil and plants<sup>7,19,37–40</sup>. However, this study shows that *F. oxysporum* was one of the least common species together with *F. solani* (RD = 6.9). *F. avenaceum* and *F. graminearum* which are usually found in cereals<sup>1,41–43</sup>, recorded a moderate RD value (13.8). On the other hand, only limited information about the presence of *F. sacchari* and *F. konzum* is available in the literature<sup>44–47</sup>.

With regard to the occurrence of *Fusarium* species as endophytes, almost exclusive information is available on *F. oxysporum*, where the existence of non-pathogenic strains of this species in different plant species has been shown<sup>31,35,36,48,49</sup>. Recently, the occurrence of *F. solani* and *F. konzum* as potential endophytes has been reported<sup>31,50</sup>. Occurrence of *F. scirpi* isolated in this study from asymptomatic plants, that too with the highest frequency of detection in barley has not been reported earlier (Table 2).

Moreover, the isolation frequency of *F. scirpi* was higher in plants under P deficiency treatment compared to P fertilized ones. Apparently, P availability determines the occurrence of this species. The increment in the frequency of *F. scirpi* as endophyte under P stress conditions (dry mass of barley plants, T-test: –P, 67.6 g DW%; +P, 137.5 g DW;  $P < 0.05$ )<sup>51</sup> did not follow the normal behaviour of common opportunistic pathogen, in which the development of an endophytic behaviour tends to be associated with plants growing in non-stress conditions<sup>6,7,52</sup>. This differential behaviour encourages further study on *F. scirpi* for examining its possible role in mitigating P stress or other stresses.

On the other hand, the –P treatment not only exhibited a higher level of *F. scirpi* association with barley but also of mycorrhizal colonization, as well (T-test: –P, 6.5%; +P, 2.5%;  $P < 0.05$ ). This might partially explain the asymptomatic nature of *F. scirpi* association with barley, as mycorrhizal colonization has been reported to protect plants from pathogenic effects<sup>53–55</sup>.

There are reports in which the occurrence of *F. avenaceum* and *F. graminearum* in plants and barley grains is evaluated. However in all cases the plants show symptoms of diseases such as Fusarium head blight<sup>56–59</sup>. In addition, several studies report the occurrence of *F. sacchari* in sugarcane, maize, sorghum and rice plants with disease symptoms, but the occurrence of this species in barley plants, either as an endophyte or as a pathogen, has not been reported<sup>47,60,61</sup>.

In summary, this is the first report on the occurrence of species belonging to *Fusarium* genus as endophytes in roots of asymptomatic barley plants cultivated in fields under differential phosphate regimes. However, it remains to be confirmed further, whether these endophytic *Fusarium* spp. isolated in this paper are nonpathogenic forms or latent pathogens. If nonpathogenic, understand-

ing the functional basis of their association with barley plants would clarify their lifestyle as either mutualistic, commensalistic or parasitic in nature for further exploitation to our advantage.

1. Leslie, J. F. and Summerell, B. A., *The Fusarium Laboratory Manual*, Blackwell Publishing, Ames, Iowa, USA, 1st edn, 2006.
2. Griffin, M. R., Biocontrol and bioremediation: two areas of endophytic research which hold great promise. In *Advances in Endophytic Research* (eds Verma, V. C. and Gange, A. C.), Springer India, 2014, pp. 257–282.
3. Stone, J. K., Bacon, C. W. and White Jr, J. F., An overview of endophytic microbes: endophytism defined. In *Microbial Endophytes* (eds Bacon, C. W. and White Jr, J. F.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000, pp. 3–29.
4. Kuldau, G. A. and Yates, I. E., Evidence for *Fusarium* endophytes in cultivated and wild plants. In *Microbial Endophytes* (eds Bacon, C. W. and White Jr, J. F.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000, pp. 85–117.
5. Petrini, O., Fungal endophytes of tree leaves. In *Microbial Ecology of Leaves* (eds Andrews, J. H. and Hirano, S. S.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, pp. 179–197.
6. Schulz, B. and Boyle, C., The endophytic continuum. *Mycol. Res.*, 2005, **109**, 661–686.
7. Maciá-Vicente, J. G., Jansson, H.-B., Abdullah, S. K., Descals, E., Salinas, J. and Lopez-Llorca, L. V., Fungal root endophytes from natural vegetation in Mediterranean environments with special reference to *Fusarium* spp. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 2008, **64**, 90–105.
8. Rodrigues Costa Pinto, L. S., Azevedo, J. L., Pereira, J. O., Carneiro Vieira, M. L. and Labate, C. A., Symptomless infection of banana and maize by endophytic fungi impairs photosynthetic efficiency. *New Phytol.*, 2000, **147**, 609–615.
9. Diene, O. and Narisawa, K., The use of symbiotic fungal associations with crops in sustainable agriculture. *J. Dev. Sust. Agric.*, 2009, **4**, 50–56.
10. DeBernardi, L., La cebada. Gacetilla informativa del sector agrícola. Newsletter Nro. 81. Dirección de Mercados Agrícolas. Ministerio de Agroindustria, Argentina, 2015; [www.minagri.gob.ar/dimeagro](http://www.minagri.gob.ar/dimeagro)
11. Cattáneo, M., Los mercados de cebada cervecera en Argentina y en el mundo. In *Cebada cervecera* (eds Miralles, D. J., Benech-Arnold, R. L. and Abeledo, L. G.), Editorial Facultad de Agronomía, Buenos Aires, 2011, pp. 275–284.
12. Briggs, D. E., Boulton, C. A., Brookes, P. A. and Stevens, R., Chemical and physical properties of beer. In *Brewing Science and Practice*, Elsevier Science and Technology, Woodhead Publishing Limited and CRC Press, LLC, 2004, pp. 662–715.
13. McGonigle, T. P., Miller, M. H., Evans, D. G., Fairchild, G. L. and Swan, J. A., A new method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol.*, 1990, **115**, 495–501.
14. Fisher, N. L., Burguess, L. W., Toussoun, T. A. and Nelson, P. E., Carnation leaves as a substrate and for preserving cultures of *Fusarium* species. *Phytopathology*, 1982, **72**, 151–153.
15. Nelson, P. E., Toussoun, T. A. and Marasas, W. F. O., *Fusarium Species: An Illustrated Manual for Identification*, The Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park, PA, USA, 1983.
16. Stenglein, S. A. and Balatti, P. A., Genetic diversity of *Phaeoariaopsis griseola* in Argentina as revealed by pathogenic and molecular markers. *Physiol. Mol. Plant P.*, 2006, **68**(4–6), 158–167.
17. Mishra, P. K., Fox, R. T. V. and Culhan, A., Development of a PCR-based assay for rapid and reliable identification of pathogenic Fusaria. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2003, **218**, 329–332.
18. Jurado, M., Vásquez, C., Patiño, B. and González-Jaén, M. T., PCR detection assays for the trichotecene producing species *Fusarium graminearum*, *Fusarium culmorum*, *Fusarium poae*,

- Fusarium equiseti* and *Fusarium sporotrichioides*. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2005, **28**, 562–568.
19. Marasas, W. F. O., Burgess, L. W., Anelich, R. Y., Lamprecht, S. C. and Van Schalkwyk, D. J., Survey of *Fusarium* species associated with plant debris in South African soils. *S. Afr. J. Bot.*, 1988, **54**, 63–71.
  20. Di Rienzo, J. A., Casanoves, F., Balzarini, M. G., Gonzalez, L., Tablada, M. and Robledo, C. W. InfoStat versión 2015. Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina; <http://www.infostat.com.ar>
  21. Bacon, C. W. and White Jr, J. F. (eds), Physiological adaptations in the evolution of endophytism in the clavicipitaceae. In *Microbial Endophytes*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000, pp. 341–388.
  22. Aly, A. H., Debbab, A. and Proksch, P., Fungal endophytes: unique plant inhabitants with great promises. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2011, **90**, 1829–1845.
  23. Hartley, S. E. and Gange, A. C., Impacts of plant symbiotic fungi on insect herbivores: mutualism in a multitrophic context. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, 2009, **54**, 323–342.
  24. Saikkonen, K., Saari, S. S. and Helander, M., Defensive mutualism between plants and endophytic fungi? *Fungal Divers.*, 2010, **41**, 101–113.
  25. Photita, W., Lumyong, S., Lumyoung, P., McKenzie, E. H. C. and Hyde, K. D., Are some endophytes of *Musa acuminata* latent pathogens? *Fungal Divers.*, 2004, **16**, 131–140.
  26. Sánchez Márquez, S., Bills, G. F., Herrero, N. and Zabalgocecoa, I., Non-systemic fungal endophytes of grasses. *Fungal Ecol.*, 2012, **5**, 289–297.
  27. Currie, A. F., Wearn, J., Hodgson, S., Wendt, H., Broughton, S. and Jin, L., Foliar Fungal endophytes in herbaceous plants: a marriage of convenience? In *Advances in Endophytic Research* (eds Verma, V. C. and Gange, A. C.), New Delhi, 2014, pp. 61–81.
  28. Le, H. T. T., Padgham J. L. and Sikora R. A., Biological control of the rice root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne graminicola* on rice, using endophytic and rhizosphere fungi. *Int. J. Pest Manage.*, 2009, **55**(1), 31–36.
  29. Demers, J. E., Gugino, B. K. and Jiménez-Gasco, M. M., Highly diverse endophytic and soil *Fusarium oxysporum* populations associated with field-grown tomato plants. *Appl Environ Microbiol.*, 2014, **81**(1), 81–90.
  30. Nalini, M. S., Sunayana, N. and Prakash, H. S., Endophytic fungal diversity in medicinal plants of Western Ghats, India. *Int. J. Biodivers.*, 2014, **2014**, 1–9; <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/494213>.
  31. Gomes Fernandes, E., Liparini Pereira, O., Cãnedo da Silva, C., Braga Pereira Bento, C. and Vieira de Queiroz, M., Diversity of endophytic fungi in *Glycine max*. *Microbiol. Res.*, 2015, **181**, 84–92.
  32. Nelson, P. E., Horst, R. K. and Woltz, S. S., *Fusarium* diseases of ornamental plants. In *Fusarium: Diseases, Biology and Taxonomy*. (eds Nelson, P. E., Toussoun, T. A. and Cook, R. J.), The Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park, London, 1981, pp. 121–128.
  33. Olivain, C., Trouvelot, S., Binet, M. N., Cordier, C., Pugin, A. and Alabouvette, C., Colonization of flax roots and early physiological responses of flax cells inoculated with pathogenic and nonpathogenic strains of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2003, **69**, 5453–5462.
  34. Athman, S. Y., Dubois, T., Coyne, D., Gold, C. S., Labuschagne, N. and Viljoen, A., Effect of *Fusarium oxysporum* on host preference of *Radapholus similis* to tissue culture bananas plants. *J. Nematol.*, 2006, **38**(4), 455–460.
  35. Ting, A. S. Y., Meon, S., Kadir, J., Radu, S. and Singh, G., Endophytic microorganisms as potential growth-promoters of banana. *Biocontrol.*, 2008, **53**, 541–553.
  36. Ting, A. S. Y., Meon, S., Kadir, J., Radu, S. and Singh, G., Induced host resistance by non-pathogenic *Fusarium* endophyte as a potential defence mechanism in *Fusarium* wilt management of banana. *Pest Technol.*, 2009, **3**, 67–72.
  37. Cabello, M. and Arambarri, A., Diversity in soil fungi from undisturbed and disturbed *Celtis tala* and *Scutia buxifolia* forests in the eastern Buenos Aires province (Argentina). *Microbiol. Res.*, 2002, **157**, 115–125.
  38. Diaz Arias, M. M., Munkvold, G. P., Ellis, M. L. and Leandro, L. F. S., Distribution and frequency of *Fusarium* species associated with soybean roots in Iowa. *Plant Dis.*, 2013, **97**(12), 1557–1562.
  39. Silvestro, L. B., Stenglein, S. A., Forjan, H., Dinolfo, M. I., Arambarri, A. M., Manso, L. and Moreno, M. V., Occurrence and distribution of soil *Fusarium* species under wheat crop in zero tillage, Span. *J. Agric. Res.*, 2013, **11**(1), 72–79.
  40. Edel-Hermann, V., Gautheron, N., Mounier, A. and Steinberg, C., *Fusarium* diversity in soil using a specific molecular approach and a cultural approach. *J. Microbiol. Meth.*, 2015, **111**, 64–71.
  41. Castañares, E., Albuquerque, D. R., Dinolfo, M. I., Pinto, V. F., Patriarca, A. and Stenglein, S. A., Trichothecene genotypes and production profiles of *Fusarium graminearum* isolates obtained from barley cultivated in Argentina. *Int. J. Food. Microbiol.*, 2014, **179**, 57–63.
  42. Lacicowa, B. and Kiecana, I., Fusariosis of spring barley cultivated in Lublin (Polonia) region. *Mycotoxin Res.*, 1991, **2**, 128–135.
  43. Piacentini, K. C., Savi, G. D., Pereira, M. E. and Scussel, V. M., Fungi and the natural occurrence of deoxynivalenol and fumonisins in malting barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Food Chem.*, 2015, **187**, 204–209.
  44. Zeller, K. A., Summerell, B. A., Bullock, S. and Leslie, J. F., *Gibberella konza* (*Fusarium konzum*) sp. nov. from prairie grasses, a new species in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex. *Mycologia*, 2003, **95**(5), 943–954.
  45. Nur Ain Izzati, M. Z. and Wan Hasmida, W. I., Isolation of microfungi from Malay traditional vegetables and secondary metabolites produced by *Fusarium* species. *Sains Malays.*, 2011, **40**(5), 437–444.
  46. Brum, M. C., Araújo, W. L., Maki, C. S. and Azevedo, J. L., Endophytic fungi from *Vitis labrusca* L. ('Niagara Rosada') and its potential for the biological control of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Genet. Mol. Res.*, 2012, **11**(4), 4187–4197.
  47. Petrovic, T., Burgess, L. W., Cowie, I., Warren, R. A. and Harvey, P. R., Diversity and fertility of *Fusarium sacchari* from wild rice (*Oryza australiensis*) in Northern Australia, and pathogenicity tests with wild rice, rice, sorghum and maize. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 2013, **136**(4), 773–788.
  48. Fisher, P. J. and Petrini, O., Fungal saprobes and pathogens as endophytes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *New Phytol.*, 1992, **120**, 137–143.
  49. Bezerra, J. D. P. *et al.*, Fungal endophytes from cactus *Cereus jamacaru* in Brazilian tropical dry forest: a first study. *Symbiosis*, 2013, **60**(2), 53–63.
  50. Latiffah, Z. and Ning, C. H., Endophytic *Fusarium* spp. from roots of lawn grass (*Axonopus compressus*). *Trop. Life Sci. Res.*, 2013, **24**(2), 85–90.
  51. Criado, M. V., Roberts, I. N., Veliz, C. G., Echeverria, M., Gutierrez Boem, F. H. and Caputo, C., Phloem transport of assimilates in relation to flowering time and senescence in barley grown with different availabilities of nitrogen and phosphorus. *Arch. Agron. Soil. Sci.*, 2018, **64**(4), 492–504.
  52. Kogel, K.-H., Franken, P. and Hückelhoven, R., Endophyte or parasite – what decides? *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, 2006, **9**, 358–363.
  53. Shukla, A., Dehariya, K., Vyas, D. and Jha, A., Interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizae and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris*: effects on fungal development, seedling growth and wilt disease suppression in *Cicer arietinum* L. *Arch. Phytopathol. Pfl.*, 2014, **48**, 240–252.
  54. Dwivedi, S. K., Sangeeta, K. and Gopal, R., Role of mycorrhizae as biofertilizer and bioprotectant. *Int. J. Pharm. Bio Sci.*, 2015, **6**(2), B1014–B1026.

55. Ren, L., Zhang N., Wu, P., Huo, H., Xu, G. and Wu, G., Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization alleviates Fusarium wilt in watermelon and modulates the composition of root exudates. *Plant Growth Regul.*, 2015, **77**(1), 77–85.
56. Bourdages, J. V., Marchand, S., Rioux, S. and Belzile, F. J., Diversity and prevalence of *Fusarium* species from Quebec barley fields. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.*, 2006, **28**(3), 419–425.
57. Fernandez, M. R., Holzgang, G. and Turkington, T. K., Common root rot of barley in Saskatchewan and north-central Alberta. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.*, 2009, **31**(1), 96–102.
58. Astolfi, P., dos Santos, J., Schneider, L., Gomes, L. B., Silva, C. N., Tessmann, D. J. and Del Ponte, E. M., Molecular survey of trichothecene genotypes of *Fusarium graminearum* species complex from barley in Southern Brazil. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 2011, **148**(3), 197–201.
59. Beccari, G., Caproni, L., Tini, F., Uhlig, S. and Covarelli, L., Presence of *Fusarium* species and other toxigenic fungi in malting barley and multi-mycotoxin analysis by liquid chromatography–high-resolution mass spectrometry. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2016, **64**(21), 4390–4399.
60. Hsuan, H. M., Salleh, B. and Zakaria, L., Molecular identification of *Fusarium* species in *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex from rice, sugarcane and maize from Peninsular Malaysia. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2011, **12**(10), 6722–6732.
61. Poongothai, M., Viswanathan, R., Malathi, P. and Ramesh Sundar, A., Sugarcane wilt: pathogen recovery from different tissues and variation in cultural characters. *Sugar Tech.*, 2014, **16**(1), 50–66.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This work was supported by Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), and Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBA).

Received 31 December 2016; revised accepted 22 May 2018

doi: 10.18520/cs/v115/i5/939-944

## Agronomic biofortification of zinc in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Arvind Kumar\*, Manas Denre and Ruplal Prasad

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Birsa Agricultural University, Kanke, Ranchi 834 006, India

**Zinc malnutrition poses a major health issue for human beings globally. Agronomic bio-fortification explores the feasibility to control the zinc deficiency related disorders of the human population. Field experiment was conducted in a red and lateritic soil of Ranchi on 23 wheat cultivars with soil and foliar applications of  $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ . Zinc content of wheat grain increased from 38.86 to 77.17 mg/kg with soil**

**application and to 76.49 mg/kg with soil + foliar application of Zn. Total Zn uptake by wheat (grain + straw) cultivars with soil + foliar application of Zn was significantly higher in short (933 g/ha) and long (960 g/ha) duration cultivars compared to that with soil application. Apparent Zn recovery in wheat also improved with soil + foliar application of Zn fertilizer, suggested that agronomic bio-fortification of zinc is possible in wheat and can prevent Zn malnutrition in human beings to a considerable extent.**

**Keywords:** Agronomic, biofortification, *Triticum aestivum* L., red and lateritic soil, zinc deficiency.

ZINC (Zn) deficiency affects more than one-third of the human population in the world<sup>1,2</sup>. Its deficiency in soils of India is widespread<sup>1,3,4</sup> and crops grown in these soils suffer from poor or no yield. A close relationship exists among soils, crops and human health nutrition<sup>5</sup>. According to the World Health Organization<sup>6</sup>, about 8 lakh people die annually due to zinc malnutrition, among which more than 50% are children below five years of age. Cereal grains are inherently low both in concentration and bioavailability of Zn, particularly when grown on potentially Zn-deficient soils<sup>7,8</sup>. Release of high-yielding cereal cultivars also contributes to the high incidence of Zn deficiency in human beings by reducing Zn concentration in grain through dilution and in soil through depletion<sup>4</sup>. In most cases, there is an inverse relationship between grain yield and grain Zn concentration<sup>9,10</sup>. Breaking the trade-off between grain yield and grain Zn concentration is an important issue and this can be achieved by breeding, transgenic technology or agronomic approaches<sup>11–14</sup>. Wheat is one of the three major cereal crops (viz. wheat, rice and maize) worldwide and represents the main dietary source of calories, proteins and micronutrients for majority of the world's population, especially in the developing countries<sup>15</sup>. Wheat is responsible for up to 70% of daily calorie intake of the population living in rural regions and is an important source of Zn for human beings living in the developing world<sup>4</sup>.

Scanty information is available on regional adaptability of Zn fertilization for biofortification of wheat<sup>16–20</sup>. Field studies have been undertaken to evaluate the acquisition and utilization of zinc by promising wheat cultivars grown under red and lateritic soil condition of India.

Twenty-three cultivars of wheat were selected to study the possibility of agronomic biofortification of zinc under red and lateritic soil condition (Table 1). The cultivars were grouped under two categories, i.e. short (11 cultivars) and long (12 cultivars) maturity duration. Field experiment was conducted during winter (*rabi*) season of 2010–11 at the University Research Farm of Kanke, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India. The experiment was laid out in a strip plot design with three replications. The soil had pH 5.50, electrical conductivity (EC) 0.10 dS/m, organic

\*For correspondence. (e-mail: arvindbauranchi@gmail.com)