

25. SPSS for Windows, Rel.16.0.0, SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA, 2007.
26. Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T. and Ryan, P. D., PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontol. Electron.*, 2001, **4**(1), 1–9; [http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001\\_1/past/issue1\\_01.htm](http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm).
27. Hartshorne, C., *Born to Sing: An Interpretation and World Survey of Bird Song*, Indiana University Press, 1973.
28. Ballentine, B., Hyman, J. and Nowicki, S., Vocal performance influences female response to male bird song: an experimental test. *Behav Ecol.*, 2004, **15**(1), 163–168; doi:10.1093/beheco/arg090.
29. Cramer, E. R. A., Physically challenging song traits, male quality, and reproductive success in house wrens. *PLoS ONE*, 2013, **8**(3), e59208; <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059208>.
30. Suthers, R. A., Peripheral vocal mechanisms in birds: are songbirds special? *Neth. J. Zool.*, 2001, **51**(2), 217–242; <https://doi.org/10.1163/156854201X00288>.
31. Hartley, R. S. and Suthers, R. A., Airflow and pressure during canary song: direct evidence for mini-breaths. *J. Comp. Physiol. A*, 1989, **165**(1), 15–26; <http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00613795>.
32. Suthers, R. A. and Goller, F., Respiratory and syringeal dynamics of song production in northern cardinals. In *Nervous Systems and Behaviour* (ed. Burrows, M. *et al.*), Proceedings of the 4th International Congress of Neuroethology, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, Germany, 1996, p. 333.
33. Endler, J. A., Signals, signal conditions, and the direction of evolution. *Am. Nat.*, 1992, **139**, S125–S153; <https://doi.org/10.1086/285308>.
34. Wiley, R. H. and Richards, D. G., Physical constraints on acoustic communication in the atmosphere: implications for the evolution of animal vocalizations. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, 1978, **3**(1), 69–94; <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00300047>.
35. Brenowitz, E. A., Environmental influences on acoustic and electric animal communication. *Brain Behav. Evol.*, 1986, **28**, 32–42; <https://doi.org/10.1159/000118690>.
36. Ryan, M. J. and Brenowitz, E. A., The role of body size, phylogeny, and ambient noise in the evolution of bird song. *Am. Nat.*, 1985, **126**, 87–100; <https://doi.org/10.1086/284398>.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank The Cornell Lab of Ornithology, NY, USA for providing RAVEN Pro 1.4 version with 100% concession, and the Director, Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History, Coimbatore for encouragement and providing the necessary facilities. We also thank the reviewers for comments on the earlier draft of the manuscript and Mr S. Jeevith (Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History, Coimbatore) for providing photographs of the Common Iora.

Received 25 September 2018; revised accepted 22 July 2019

doi: 10.18520/cs/v117/i11/1863-1871

## Errata

### B. V. Sreekantan (1925–2019)

#### Palahalli R. Vishwanath

[*Curr. Sci.*, 2019, **117**(10), 1740–1743]

Page 1741 Col 3 Para 2 lines 26, 27

*should read as* P. C. Agrawal and R. K. Manchanda

*instead of* G. S. Agrawal and Manchanda

Page 1741 Col 3 Para 2 last sentence

*should read as* ‘Later Sreekantan and Naranan started rocket X-ray astronomy programme in 1970 and got interesting results.’

*instead of* ‘Later Sreekantan and Naranan started rocket X-ray astronomy programme in 1970 and got interesting results from the payload on the first satellite (Aryabhata) itself.’