(74.17%). Therefore, when large samples have to be handled, this method can be adopted in D florihunda. Regression equations with either only leaf length or leaf breadth do not give very good estimate of leaf area except in the case of D composita where regression with leaf breadth, gives fairly good estimare of leaf area (R2=93.24%). Multiple regression equation using both leaf length and leaf breadth gives very valid estimate of leaf area in both the species. It may be observed that the leaf area estimated with a constant of 0.705 and 0.620 in D composita and D floribunda gives R2 value of 93.70 and 96.85% respectively. The leaf area estimated with this method is as accurate as the area estimated from the multiple regression equation with leaf length and leaf breadth, and it is very close to the actual area, besides being very simple for computation. This method could be adopted conveniently for both the species of medicinal yam due to the main advantage of its simplicity in operation in comparison to planimetry, even though the regression with leaf length and leaf bradth is more precise than this method in D composita. At the same time, it is almost as accurate as that of planimetry. Thus, leaf area in D composita and D floribunda species of medicinal yam could be determined by simply multiplying the product of leaf length and leaf breadth with a constant factor of 0.705 and 0.620 res-This method can easily be applied in pectively. both destructive and undestructive methods of growth analysis.

The author is thankful to Dr. G. S. Randhawa, Director, Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bangalore, for the facilities and Dr. T. R. Subramanian, for his critical comments.

Indian Institute of D. M. HEGDE.

Horticultural Research,
Bangalore-6, December 15, 1978.

## AN YET UNDEFINED HOST OF ISOPARORCHIS HYPSELOBAGRI BILLET, 1898

Isoparorchis hypselobagri Billet, 1898 belonging to the family Isoparorchidae generally infects the air bladder of a siluroid fish Wallago attu. A number of references 1-6 are also available reporting the

occurrence of the juvenile forms specially metacercaria in some non siluroid fish. Recently Mahajan et all have made a new host record Channa punctatus Bloch, a non siluroid fish, which in addition to the juvenile forms, also lodged the adults measuring 14-20 mm in length and 8-12 mm in breadth.

The present investigation reports the occasional occurrence of I. bypselobagri in a complete'y new host, the common Indian frog Rana tigrina infecting the liver but in an encysted condition. These collected, on five occasions, 2-3 at a time. The parasites measured 16-25 mm in length and 9-14 mm in breadth. The gonads are fully developed but the uterus consisted of less number of eggs as compared to their normal host inhabiting counterparts. They resembled the type species in almost all their anatomical characters. With the report of I. hypselo. bagri infection from R. tigrina, its host tange which was restricted to the fishes can be extended to the amphibians also.

In fish hosts adults occur only in the air bladder and only the juvenile forms occur in other organs like spieen, liver, muscles, ovary etc. In contrast to this, the adults in the amphibian hosts occur in the Hence, it is presumed that next to the air liver. bladder they prefer the liver as the site of infection. As there is a difference in the microenvironment of the parasite, probably to overcome this difference they live in an encysted condition. However the presence of the adults in the liver makes it clear that they need not be obligatory aerobes, as it appears from their oxygen rich environment in the air bladder; but they are facultative aerobes agreeing with other digenetic trematodes; capable of carrying the metabolic activities anaerobically.

The authors express their sincere thanks to the authorities of the Kakatiya University, Warangal for providing laboratory facilities.

Department of Zoology, L. NARSIMHA RAO.
Kakatiya University, M. KAMESWARI.
Waranga'l 506 009, G. RAM HANMANTH RAO.
January 1, 1979.

<sup>1.</sup> Asif, M. I., Trop. Agric. (Trinidad), 1977, 54, 192.

<sup>2.</sup> Garg, I. D. and Mandahar, C. L. Ludian J. Agric. Sci. 1972, 42, 958.

<sup>3.</sup> Rao, J. V., Curr. Sci., 1978, 47, 312.

<sup>4.</sup> Vivekanandan, N. S., Gurasena, H. P. M. and Sivanahagam, T. Indian J. Agric. Sci., 1972, 42, 557.

<sup>1.</sup> Southwell, T., Rec. Ind. Mus., 1939, 9, 79. 2. Bovien, P., Vidensk. Medd. Densk, Naturb.

Forening Kihenhavan, 1927, 82, 157.

Bhalerao, G. D., J. Helm., 1936, 14, 207.
 Chauhan. B. S., J. Zocl. Soc. India, 1955, 7, 87.

<sup>5.</sup> Yamaguti, S., Systema Helminthum, In erscience Pub. New York, 1958, 1, 259.

<sup>6.</sup> Rai, P. and Pande, B. P., Curr. Sci., 1965, 34, 586.

<sup>7.</sup> Mahajan, C. L., Agrawal, N. K., Johan, M. J. and Katta, V. P., Ibid., 1978, 47, 835.