

SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

EFFECTIVE TRIPLE ALPHA REACTION RATES IN STARS

A. E. Md. KHAIROZZAMAN

B. N. College, Dhubri 783 324, India.

THE triple alpha reaction to produce carbon is the gateway to element synthesis of heavy atomic masses. The radiative width of $7650 \pm 0.2(0^+)$ keV¹, the second excited state of carbon, crucially affects the reaction rates. At temperature 10^8 K and density 10^5 g cm⁻³, suitable for the triple alpha reaction², we expect that the level $7650 \pm 0.2(0^+)$ keV will contribute more to the reaction rates. The temperatures at which the levels, $9330(3^-)$ keV and $10000(0^+)$ keV, contribute substantially to the reaction are supposed to be higher than 10^8 K. The level, $4420(2^+)$ keV, will contribute substantially at a lower temperature than 10^8 K. It will be interesting to study how the reaction rates for stars with 50% helium in the core vary with temperature as some hydrogen-deficient carbon stars and R or B variables appear to have an atmospheric composition of 50% helium and 50% carbon. The sum of the masses of three alpha particles is 7274.69 ± 0.2 keV and hence the excess energy is 375.31 keV. The second excited state decays to the ground level through $4420(2^+)$ keV state. The width of gamma transition to the ground state cannot be directly measured. There have been a number of measurements for the value³ Γ_v/Γ . The recent value is $(4.90 \pm 0.2)10^{-4}$. Thus Γ_v , the radiation width, is found to be $(3.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$ eV. This is about 1.5 times the value used by Duorah and Kushwaha⁴. Further the screening effect of electron clouds also causes enhancement of the reaction. Hence the reaction is restudied.

Calculation of effective reaction rates: Utilising the new values of Γ_v and E_r , the resonance energy, we have deduced from Duorah and Duorah⁵, the reaction rate equation,

$$\log\left(\frac{r_{3\alpha}}{\rho^2 X_\alpha^3}\right) = 16.64 - 3 \log T_8 - \frac{19.12}{T_8} \quad (1)$$

In equation (1), $r_{3\alpha}$ is the reaction rate in g⁻¹ sec⁻¹; ρ is the density in g·cm⁻³; X_α and T_8 are the mean fraction of alpha particles by weight and temperature in 10^8 K unit respectively. The equation is an improved one for the new values of Γ_v and E_r .

The dimensionless parameters Γ (not width here) and τ have been used to study screened reaction rates⁶⁻⁸.

$$\Gamma = (z_e)^2/Ta \quad (T \text{ in energy unit}), \quad (2)$$

$$\tau = \left\{ \frac{27\pi^2 M (Z_e)^4}{4 T h^2} \right\}^{1/3}, \quad (3)$$

where $a = (3Z/4\pi n_e)^{1/3}$, the mean ionic distance. M , Z_e and n_e denote the mass of ions, electronic charge of ions and the number density of electrons respectively. n_e is further given by

$$n_e = \rho/\mu_e H \quad (4)$$

where
$$\mu_e = (A/Z) + (m/H), \quad (5)$$

We have

$$m = 9.1 \times 10^{-28} \text{ g},$$

$$H = 1.660531 \times 10^{-24} \text{ g},$$

$$A/Z = 2 \text{ for helium plasma.}$$

For the triple alpha reaction at $\rho = 10^5$ g cm⁻³ and $T_8 = (1-2)$, the value of Γ is between 9.5 and 20. When $\Gamma > 1$, the screening is strong. So we resort to the use of strong screening enhancement factor used by Itoh *et al*⁷.

We write it as

$$y = \exp\left[1.25\Gamma - 0.11\tau\left(\frac{3\Gamma}{\tau}\right)^2\right] \\ = \exp\left[\frac{\tau}{3}\left\{(1.25)\frac{3\Gamma}{\tau} - 0.033\left(\frac{3\Gamma}{\tau}\right)^2\right\}\right]. \quad (6)$$

As $3\Gamma/\tau$ is small, we use also the expression of Alastuey and Jancovici⁸ for finding the enhancement rate,

$$y = \exp\left(C - \frac{45}{32}\frac{\Gamma^3}{\tau^2}\right), \quad (7)$$

where
$$C = 1.0531\Gamma + 2.2931\Gamma^{1/4} - 0.5551 \ln \Gamma - 2.35. \quad (8)$$

It is valid for $1 \leq \Gamma < 155$. Hence we get the screened rate ($\gamma_{3\alpha}^*$) from equation (1) after minor simplifications as

$$\log\left(\frac{r_{3\alpha}^*}{\rho^2 X_\alpha^3}\right) = 16.64 - 3 \log T_8 - \frac{19.12}{T_8} + \log y. \quad (9)$$

Using the above equations the enhanced reaction rates

Table 1 Reaction rates as functions of temperatures 50% helium, 50% carbon, $\rho_s = 1$

T_8	Γ	τ	$3\Gamma/\tau$	$\log(r_{3\alpha})$	$\log(r_{3\alpha}^*)$	$\log\left(\frac{r_{3\alpha}^*}{r_{3\alpha}}\right)$	$\log(r_{3\alpha}^{**})$	$\log\left(\frac{r_{3\alpha}^{**}}{r_{3\alpha}}\right)$
1.0	19.082	328.5	0.1743	6.615	17.212	10.598	15.65822	9.0432
1.4	13.63	293.65	0.1393	11.645	19.027	7.382	18.12641	6.48141
1.8	10.601	270.05	0.1178	14.352	20.107	5.754	19.33908	5.04702
2	9.541	260.731	0.1098	15.277	20.455	5.18	19.81989	4.5429

$r_{3\alpha}$ = unscreened reaction rate, $r_{3\alpha}^*$ and $r_{3\alpha}^{**}$ = screened reaction rates after Itoh *et al*⁷ and Alastuey and Jancovici⁸ respectively.

($r_{3\alpha}^*$), due to screening effect have been calculated for better value of Γ , becoming available and tabulated in table 1.

At higher temperature the screening effect decreases and yet the effective reaction rates increase tremendously. The mean life which is the inverse of reaction rates will be drastically altered. So a star after exhaustion of 50% of helium will move on to a higher temperature in a very short time in comparison to earlier values of Burbidge² *et al* and Duorah and Kushwaha³.

Table 1 shows that the screened rates after Itoh *et al* and Alastuey and Jancovici are comparable. The screening correction calculated using the methods of Itoh *et al* and Alastuey and Jancovici is not expected to be different as pointed out by latter authors. The difference decreases towards higher temperature warranting that both the rates will almost be the same at higher temperatures. Our results will be suitable for hydrogen-deficient carbon stars and R or B variables as they appear to have an atmospheric composition of 50% helium and 50% carbon.

The authors gratefully acknowledges financial assistance from UGC, New Delhi.

30 December 1983; Revised 9 October 1985

1. Nelon, J. A. and Austin, S. A., *Phys. Rev.*, 1976, C13, 1773.
2. Burbidge, E. M., Burbidge, G. R., Flower, W. A. and Hoyle, F., *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, (U.S.A.), 1957, 29, 547.
3. Obst, A. W. and Braithwaite, W. J., 1976, 13, 2033.
4. Duorah, H. L. and Kushwaha, R. S., *Astrophys. J.*, 1963, 137, 566.
5. Duorah, K. and Duorah, H. L., *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.*, 1968, 6, 263.
6. Salpeter, E. E. and Van Horn, H. M., *Astrophys. J.*, 1969, 155, 183.

7. Itoh, N., Totsuji, H. and Setsuo, I., *Astrophys. J.*, 1977, 218, 477.
8. Alastuey, A. and Jancovici, B., *Astrophys. J.*, 1978, 226, 1034.

ISOCOUMARIN: SYNTHESIS OF 3-(4'-METHOXYPHENYL)-4-FORMYLISOCOUMARIN

B. K. SARKHEL and J. N. SRIVASTAVA
Department of Chemistry, Bhagalpur University,
Bhagalpur 812007, India.

3-PHENYLISOCOUMARINS possess medicinal properties and are usually obtained by condensing homophthalic anhydride with aromatic hydrocarbons phenols and phenolic ethers using anhydrous, AlCl_3 or SnCl_4 or PPA¹⁻³. This paper reports the synthesis of 3-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-4-formylisocoumarin by the direct condensation of 4-formylisochroman-1,3-dione with anisole in the presence of PPA.

Homophthalic anhydride (I)⁴ condensed with ethyl formate in the presence of sodium methoxide to furnish 4-formylisochroman-1, 3-dione(II), which reacted with anisole in the presence of PPA, to give 3-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-4-formyl isocoumarin (III). It reduced Tollen's reagent and gave a 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone derivative. Treatment with aqueous sodium hydroxide (10%) yielded 4-methoxy-w(2'-carboxyphenyl)-formyl-acetophenone (IV). Oxidation of III by silver oxide in aqueous sodium hydroxide and subsequent acidification afforded 4-methoxy-w-(2'-carboxyphenyl)-acetophenone (VI) found to be identical with an authentic sample prepared earlier³. The IR and UV spectra were found compatible with the structure of the other isocoumarin^{5,6}.