# SYNTHESIS OF 3-(SUBSTITUTED AMINOMETHYL)-5-(NITROBEZYLIDENE)-4-THIAZOLIDINONE-2-THIONES AS POTENTIAL ANTIVIRAL AGENTS

#### ALKA PANDE and V. K. SAXENA

Department of Chemistry, Lucknow University, Lucknow 226 007, India.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Twelve new title compounds (4-15) were synthesized by the Mannich reaction of 5-(nitrobenzylidene)-4-thiazolidinone-2-thiones (2 and 3) with appropriate amines in the presence of aq. HCHO. All the compounds were tested against sunnhemp rosette virus (SRV) and Ranikhet disease virus (RDV). Most of compounds exhibited significant protection against these viruses.

## INTRODUCTION

4-Thiazolidinone-2-thione derivatives have been reported to display remarkable antifungal<sup>1</sup>, antibacterial<sup>2</sup>, herbicidal<sup>3</sup> and insecticidal<sup>4</sup> activities. A survey of the recent work on 4-thiazolidinone-2-thiones revealed that it inhibited itself the multiplication of ECHO 12 virus<sup>5</sup> and some 5-benzylidene-4-thiazolidinone-2-thiones, obtained by the condensation of 4-thiazolidinone-2-thione with aldehydes, exhibited remarkable activity against vesicular virus<sup>6</sup>. These observations prompted us to synthesize some new thiazolidinone derivatives.

# EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The melting points were determined in open capillaries in conc  $H_2SO_4$  melting point bath and are therefore uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer spectrophotometer using KBr. PMR spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer spectrometer using TMs as internal reference (chemical shift in  $\delta$  ppm). Purity of the compounds was checked on silica gel TLC plates and the spots were located by iodine vapours.

4-Thiazolidinone-2-thione (Rhodanine) (1) was prepared by the method of Campbell and McKail<sup>7</sup> and 5-(nitrobenzylidene)-4-thiazolidinone-2-thiones (2 and 3) were also prepared by known methods<sup>8</sup>.

3-(Substituted aminomethyl)-5-(nitrobenzylidene)-4-thiazolidinone-2-thiones (4-15): To a suspension of 5-(nitrobenzylidene)-4-thiazolidinone-2-thione (0.005 mol) in 10 ml of warm methanol, were added 1 ml of 37% formalin and an appropriate amine (0.005 mol) under shaking. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 5 min with occasional warming after which it was allowed to stand overnight at room temperature. The solid, thus separated, was filtered,

dried and recrystallized from chloroform/ethyl acetate. Compounds 4-15, thus synthesized, are recorded in table 1.

IR(KBr): Compounds showed IR spectral bands at 1710–1700 (C=O), 1210–1200 (C=S) and 1650–1640 (C=CH). PMR(CDCl<sub>3</sub>):

Compd. 9: 1.44 - 1.76 (m, 6H,  $CH_2$ ), 3.38 - 3.74 (m, 4H,  $N-CH_2$ ), 5.44 (s, 2H,  $N-CH_2-N$ ), 6.90-7.64 (m, 9H, 8Ar-H and 1C = CH).

#### **BIOASSAY**

All the twelve compounds were screened against SRV, in vitro as well as in vivo, and against RDV. The results of screening are recorded in table 2.

a. Antiviral activity against sunnhemp rosette virus: The culture of sunnhemp rosette virus (SRV) was maintained by successive host innoculation (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba plants). The procedure of Mukerjee et al<sup>9</sup> was followed for in vitro and in vivo antiviral testing.

The solutions of test compounds were prepared by dissolving 5 mg of the compound in 1 ml of ethanol and making up the volume to 4 ml with distilled water. These solutions were termed 'test solutions'. The inhibition was expressed as % inhibition  $= C - T/C \times 100$  where, C is the number of lesions on control leaves and T the number of lesions on treated leaves.

b. Antiviral activity against Ranikhet disease virus: All the compounds were tested against Ranikhet disease virus (RDV) in stationary culture of the chorioallantoic membrane of chick embryo. The strain of the Ranikhet disease virus was the same as employed by Babbar and Dhar<sup>10</sup>. Chorioallantoic membranes (CAM) of chick embryo (10 days old) were

Table 1. 3-(Substituted aminomethyl)-5-(nitrobenzylidene)-4-thiazolidinone-2-thiones (<u>4</u>-<u>15</u>).

$$R \longrightarrow CH \longrightarrow S$$

$$CH_2 - N \nearrow R_1$$

$$R_2$$

		N	S R <sub>1</sub>
		CH <sub>2</sub> -N	`R <sub>2</sub>
Compd. No.	$N < R^1$ $R^2$	м.Р. °С	Molecular
	R = 3 - NO <sub>2</sub>		
4 N N -	—(C)—СH <sub>3</sub>	172	C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>
5 N N -	(	160	C21H19N5O5S2
<u>6</u> N -	—(C)—C1	181	C21H19ClN4O3S2
7 NH-	-CO-N(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	203	C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>
8 NH-		177	C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub> S <sub>2</sub>
9 NH —		219	C <sub>23</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>
10 N N -	СН3	'2 163	C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>
11 N N-		198	C21H19N5O5S2
12 N N-	C1	149	C21H19ClN4O3S

Table	1.	(continued)

Compd. No.	$N < R^1 \over R^2$	M.P. °C	Molecular formula
<u>13</u>	NH-(C2 H5)2	211	$C_{22}H_{22}N_4O_4S_2$
14	NH-(O)-co-N	186	C22H20N4O5S2
<u>15</u>	NH - CO - N	223	C <sub>23</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>

Yields ranged between 60-70%.

All the compounds gave satisfactory analyses for C, H, N and S.

H  
H  

$$O = N$$
  
 $I = S$   
 $I = I = I$   
 $I = I$   

SCHEME

**Table 2** Antiviral activity of compounds 4-15 against SRV and RDV.

	Antiviral activity against			
	SRV		RDV	
Compd.	Per cent	inhibition	Per cent	
No.	In-vitro	In-vivo	inhibition	
	48b	54a	25	
4 5 6 7	55a	46 <sup>b</sup>	0	
<u></u>	52a	40b	0	
7	78a	64ª	50	
<del>8</del>	68a	75a	40	
<u>8</u> 9	70a	68a	50	
10	56a	49b	25	
11	40b	52a	25	
12	48b	54a	0	
$\frac{\overline{12}}{\overline{13}}$ $\frac{\overline{14}}{\overline{15}}$	68ª	60a	50	
14	62a	69a	55	
15	59a	65ª	50	

Results significant: a = at 1% level, b = at 5% level.

taken and the culture prepared according to the method of Babbar<sup>11,12</sup>.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of antiviral activity against SRV reveal that these compounds in vitro exhibited significant inhibitory effect in a range of 52-78% except com-

pounds 4, 11 and 12 which showed mild activity, compound 7 being the most active (78%). However, all of them in vivo showed significant inhibition of sav ranging from 52-75% except compounds 5, 6 and 10 which showed less activity, the maximum inhibition of 75% being caused by Compound 8.

It appears from table 2 that compounds containing diethyl-, morpholino- and piperidino carbamoyl phenylamino-methyl substituents at position 3- of 4-thiazolidionone-2-thione nucleus develop significant activity in vitro as well as in vivo. The 4-nitro analogs have shown less inhibitory efficacy than those of 4-nitro derivatives.

Among the twelve compounds tested against RDV, six compounds 7, 8, 9, 13, 14 and 15 were active and the rest were inactive.

It can thus be inferred that the presence of diethyl-, morpholino- and piperidino carbamoyl phenylaminomethyl substituents at position 3- of 4-thiazolidinone-2-thione moiety makes the compounds significantly active against SRV as well as against RDV.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

One of the authors (AP) is grateful to CSIR, New Delhi for the award of fellowship.

2 September 1985; Revised 21 November 1985

- 1. Gupta, S. P. and Dureja, P., J. Indian Chem. Soc., 1974, 51, 936.
- 2. Akerblom, E. B., J. Med. Chem., 1974, 17, 609.
- 3. LaCroix, Eric. A. S., (Beecham Group Ltd.), Brit., 1390550; Chem. Abstr., 1975, 83, 92395.
- 4. Zsolnai, T., Acta Phytopathol. Acad. Sci. Hung., 1974, 9, 125.
- 5. Eggers, H. J., Koch, M. A., Purst, A., Daves, J. D., Jr., Wilczynski, J. J. and Folkers, K., Science, 1970, 167, 294.
- 6. Foye, W. O. and Tovivich, P., Curr. Chemother., (eds) W. Siegenthaler and R. Luethy, Am. Soc. Microbiol., Washington, 1978, 35a.
- 7. Campbell, N. and Mckail, J. E., J. Chem. Soc., 1958, 1251
- 8. Allan, F. J., Allan, G. G. and Thompson, J. B., Can. J. Chem., 1958, 36, 1579.
- 9. Mukerjee, D. D., Shukla, S. K., Verma, H. N. and Awasthi, L. P. Acta Pharm. Jugoslav., 1981, 31, 151.
- 10. Babbar, O. P. and Dhar, M. M., J. Sci. Ind. Res., 1956, 15c, 249.
- 11. Babbar, O. P., J. Sci. Ind. Res., 1961, 20c, 216.
- 12. Babbar, O. P., J. Sci. Ind. Res., 1961, 20c, 232.

# **NEWS**

## NATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON PHYTOBACTERIOLOGY

The Centre for Advanced Study in Botany, University of Madras, Madras, organized the National Symposium on Phytobacteriology during March 14–15, 1986. The symposium which attracted several distinguished phytobacteriologists from different states was inaugurated by Prof. G. Rangaswami, former Vice-Chancellor, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. Presentations included 5 special talks, 35 papers on the ecology, control and genetics of phytopathogenic bacteria and a special address by Dr D. N. Srivastava, Asst. Director-General of Indian Council of Agricultural Research. The University Grants Commission sponsored the symposium.

One of the important highlights of the symposium

Was the report on isolation of plasmids from Xanthomonas spp and Pseudomonas solanacearum. For the control of major bacterial pathogens it was recommended that emphasis be laid on looking into the feasibility of biological control particularly by bacteriophages and antagonistic rhizobacteria. At the conclusion of the symposium the delegates made the following recommendations for follow-up: 1. Conducting a workshop on bacterial plant pathology; 2. Publishing a bulletin, twice a year, to publish short reports, focus on research findings and news item; 3. Starting a culture collection to store freeze-dried cultures of plant pathogenic bacteria; and 4. Conducting an International symposium on Phytobacteruology (after a period of 3-4 years).