

parable with the observed value. Hence the gravitational clumping of SQNs gives a natural explanation for the observed halo MACHOs.

1. Banerjee, S., Bhattacharyya, A., Ghosh, S. K., Raha, S., Sinha, B. and Toki, H.,

Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 2003, **340**, 284.

2. Witten, E., *Phys. Rev.*, 1984, **D30**, 272.

3. Iso, K., Kodama, H. and Sato, K., *Phys. Lett.*, 1986, **B169**, 337.


4. Alam, J., Raha, S. and Sinha, B., *Astrophys. J.*, 1999, **513**, 572.

5. Bhattacharyya, A., Alam, J., Sarkar, S.,

Roy, P., Sinha, B., Raha, S. and Bhattacharjee, P., *Phys. Rev.*, 2000, **61**, 083509.

Debasish Majumdar is in the Theory Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700 064, India. e-mail: debasish@theory.saha.ernet.in.

FROM THE ARCHIVES



Vol. X] JULY 1941 [No. 7

University for Orissa

In determining the type of university most suitable for Orissa, the University Committee appointed by the Congress Ministry in 1938 has reached the decision that a wholly centralised varsity would not accord with the actual requirements of the Province, and would not be justified either by the distribution of the collegiate institutions in the State or by the stage of educational development now attained. Presumably after carefully investigating the wealth of experience accumulated in many places and in many directions, the Committee have favoured the establishment of an affiliating university at Cuttack, and it must be remembered that there is already a similar university functioning at Patna. Since the older Universities of Calcutta, Madras and Bombay still retain the garb though not the clothes of a mere examining body, it may be reasonable to suppose that the judgment of the Orissa Committee is wise, especially in view of the circumstances prevailing in the Province. The jurisdiction over which the new university will exercise its control is fairly limited,—an advantage which will promote its efficiency. Further it may not be absolutely a correct policy to break with the past.

It is true that under the stimulus of the Universities Act of 1904 and under the influence of public opinion people have come to regard that a university should share in the actual work of teaching, instead of remaining an impassive body controlling higher education through public examinations. Not until the impact of popular demand became irresistible, did the universities established in 1857 readjust their constitutional machinery. The various reports of University Commissions have emphasized and approved the popular feeling that the universities must be invested with the responsibility of co-ordinating their functions with the social, physical and intellectual needs of their students, and with the economic and industrial activities of the State. The recent university projects inaugurated in the last few years accentuate and reflect this higher conception of the functions of a university.

... What India wants is a network of inspiring seminaries of knowledge, within whose halls there are men who are little universities in themselves, and whose creative genius will fertilise the minds of young men, who in their turn will blossom into dynamic intellectual apostles. Sir Venkataraman placed in Sahara, Bow Bazaar or in the Indian Institute of Science is a smiling university in himself. It is obvious that, since mankind has not yet invented a process of making bricks without straw, the Indian universities suffering from chronic financial anaemia cannot be accused of lagging behind their foreign congeners, which can very well stand a considerable amount of transfusion. Nevertheless it must be gratefully acknowledged that the Indian universities struggling under severe handicap have produced work which is at once impressive and significant. Orissa need

not be troubled over the type. If it has ample financial support and potential men such as Sir Venkataraman, Professor Saha, Dr. Ghosh, Professor Sahni, Professor Krishnan and Sir Radhakrishnan, the proposed affiliating university will achieve distinction.

Even more serious than financial inadequacy is the unmanageable size of student population,—a condition hostile to the successful evolution of a corporate intellectual communion in the universities, comparable to the best traditions of the older British universities. All attempts in the direction of imposing restraints on the free admission of students must be deprecated. The greatest reproach of our universities is that they act as a sort of one-way traffic system, leading the students ultimately into blind alleys, instead of being centres of divergent radiation, along which young men could march in a spirit of hope and courage to places where they might fulfil their destiny. All the existing universities have a handsome but unexpressive face; viewed from any standpoint, their duplication is indefensible. . . .

The reconstruction of our universities for direct use to the society is a duty as instant as that of improving natural knowledge for discovery. They are not to be regarded merely as a channel of escape to a world of discontented young men, and should not seek vindication on remote and abstract criteria. A university is at bottom a social function, with inescapable social responsibilities and obligations, and if our universities are to live as a vital force, they must ever keep human values and problems in the forefront, and they must justify their existence by their contributions to the enrichment of the spiritual and material wealth of the nation.