

Highly cited papers from India and China

Muthu Madhan, G. Chandrasekar and Subbiah Arunachalam*

*Research papers published by Chinese and Indian researchers during 1998–2007 and cited at least 100 times by end of 2009 are analysed. There were 776 papers with at least one author from India (amounting to 0.32% of all papers from India) and 2260 papers with at least one author from China (0.4% of all papers from China) that have gone on to be cited at least 100 times. We have identified prominent authors and institutions, journals used and fields of research. Although highly cited Chinese papers were cited on average less often than the highly cited papers from India, Chinese authors have been able to place their papers in high impact journals such as *Nature* and *Science* far more often than Indian authors. The Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai and Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore are the prominent Indian institutions, whereas universities in Hong Kong, Peking University, Tsinghua University and several institutions under the Chinese Academy of Science dominate in China. In terms of citations, Chinese National Human Genome Centre Shanghai, Shanghai, tops the list.*

Keywords: Citations, impact factor, research papers, science and technology.

THE geography of science is changing. Science and engineering research is becoming an increasingly international endeavour. More than ever before governments around the world acknowledge the role of science and technology (S&T) in generating new jobs, economic prosperity, response to national issues and global challenges, and global competitiveness¹.

China and India are seen as emerging world leaders and there has been considerable interest in what happens in the area of S&T in these two countries. A few years ago, Demos, the London-based think tank, brought out a series of reports on the theme *Atlas of Science: Mapping the Geography of Science*. Two of them were on science in China and India^{2,3}, and the third was on South Korea⁴. Together with Brazil and Russia the two Asian giants constitute the BRIC countries and together with Brazil and South Africa they constitute the BASIC countries. Thomson Reuters, the publishers of *Web of Science* and related databases, have brought out a series of studies on science in the BRIC countries^{5–10}. In his State of the Nation address delivered on 27 January 2010, the US President Barak Obama made a mention of both China and India as countries trying hard to excel and he made a special reference to their emphasis on mathematics and science (education and research)¹¹.

Ronald Kostoff and his colleagues have estimated the quantity, scope and quality of scientific research in both China and India^{12,13}. According to Kostoff, from 1980 to 2005, India's output of research articles grew by a factor of 2.5, from 10,000 to 25,000, and that during the same period, Chinese research output grew by a factor of 100. Today, in the output of research papers as seen from *Web of Science*, China is second only to USA. However, Kostoff reports that if we look at *INSPEC* and *Compendex*, China has outpaced USA as well. This is because *Web of Science* covers a large number of biomedical and life sciences journals and China's strong suites are physics, chemistry, materials science and engineering.

Shelton and Foland believe that although currently China lags behind USA and the European Union in most S&T indicators (except the sheer output of research papers), it will soon catch up and possibly excel USA and Europe¹⁴. It is not China alone that is expected to upset the current order in world science, and even displace USA from its position as the world's number one, according to Leydesdorff and Wagner¹⁵. According to Leydesdorff and Zhou¹⁶, China and South Korea are improving both in terms of the sheer volume of research publications and citation impact.

A recent announcement from *Nature* Asia-Pacific provides additional evidence, if any required, for China's phenomenal growth in science. From a mere three papers published by Chinese researchers in *Nature* and other monthly journals from the *Nature* Group in 1998, the number rose to 93 in 2009. In contrast, India had published two papers in 1998 and 18 in 2009. Over the

Muthu Madhan is in the Library at the National Institute of Technology, Rourkela 769 008, India; G. Chandrasekar is in the National Institute of Science Communication and Information Resources, New Delhi 110 012, India, and Subbiah Arunachalam is in the Centre for Internet and Society, No. 194, 2nd 'C' Cross, Domlur 2nd Stage, Bangalore 560 071, India.

*For correspondence. (e-mail: subbiah.arunachalam@gmail.com)

12 years China had published 375 papers compared to 63 from India (<http://www.natureasia.com/en/publishing-index/historical.php?>).

Arunachalam¹⁷ had shown that many papers published by Indian researchers in high impact factor journals are cited much less often than the average article in those journals and thus actually bring down the impact factor of those journals. Balaram¹⁸ pointed out that ‘journal impact factors can be misleading with most papers in high profile journals accumulating only a modest number of citations’. Recently, Meneghini *et al.*¹⁹ have shown that papers from Latin America published in high impact factor journals are cited on average less often than the journal average. Campbell²⁰ has shown how a high journal impact factor can be the skewed result of many citations of a few papers rather than the average level of the majority, reducing its value as an objective measure of an individual paper. A better measure of an individual paper would be the actual number of times the paper is cited. In this article, we have estimated the number of papers from India and China published in 10 years, 1998–2007, that have been cited at least a hundred times.

Here again, one has to be aware that the average number of citations to papers differs from field to field, and in certain areas the normal citation rates are rather low. As pointed out by Pudovkin and Garfield^{21,22}, average impact factor values greatly differ among subject categories and an average paper in mathematics is cited eight times less frequently than an average paper in molecular biology or genetics. *Essential Science Indicators*²³ provide information on average number of citations per paper for 22 major fields. Obviously there would not be many mathematics papers from India or China that would have been cited hundred times or more.

Methodology

With a view to finding the highly cited papers from India and China, the authors of these papers as well as the insti-

tutions where they were written, we downloaded all papers published in 1998–2007 with an author address in India and China from *Science Citation Index – Expanded* section of *Web of Science*. We selected papers that have been cited at least 100 times up to a given date. These data were then analysed using Visual FoxPro.

Analysis of data

In Table 1, we present the number of papers published by Indian and Chinese researchers in all of science as seen from *Web of Science* in each one of the years 1998–2007. We have also shown the number of papers from these two countries that have been cited at least 100 times. To see the performance of India and China in perspective we have included similar data from two other BASIC countries, viz. Brazil and South Africa; three OECD countries, viz. France, Japan and South Korea, and Israel, a small country with a good record in scientific research. Citation data were collected up to 22 November 2009 for India, China, South Korea and Brazil and up to 25 January 2010 for Israel, South Africa, Japan and France.

In all, India had published 235,679 papers in the 10 years, and of these 758 were cited at least 100 times. China had published 529,856 papers during the same period, and of these 2,142 were cited at least 100 times. Among the eight countries considered, India and China have the lowest proportion of papers receiving 100 citations, viz. 0.321% and 0.404% respectively. In contrast, Israel (1.737%), France (1.585%) and Japan (1.071%) have a much higher proportion of papers receiving at least 100 citations. South Africa (0.790%), South Korea (0.501%) and Brazil (0.419%) have recorded a slightly higher proportion of papers receiving at least 100 citations than India and China. Israel’s performance, better than that of the three OECD countries (France, Japan and South Korea) is striking.

In Table 2, we present data on the number of papers from India and China, the number of papers with more

Table 1. Comparison of number of papers published during 1998–2007 and number of highly cited papers from India, China, South Korea, Brazil, Israel, South Africa, Japan and France

| Year | India* | | China* | | South Korea* | | Brazil* | | Israel** | | South Africa** | | Japan** | | France** | |
|-------|---------|-----|---------|------|--------------|------|---------|-----|----------|------|----------------|-----|---------|------|----------|------|
| | Papers | A | Papers | A | Papers | A | Papers | A | Papers | A | Papers | A | Papers | A | Papers | A |
| 1998 | 17,629 | 101 | 20,621 | 165 | 11,822 | 96 | 10,277 | 92 | 10,025 | 339 | 4047 | 52 | 77,678 | 1551 | 53,989 | 1558 |
| 1999 | 18,073 | 92 | 24,639 | 190 | 13,683 | 134 | 11,732 | 93 | 10,364 | 307 | 4239 | 55 | 79,541 | 1545 | 54,790 | 1459 |
| 2000 | 18,137 | 109 | 31,028 | 255 | 15,116 | 165 | 12,978 | 83 | 10,688 | 294 | 3998 | 50 | 82,217 | 1432 | 54,753 | 1430 |
| 2001 | 19,141 | 113 | 36,505 | 267 | 17,505 | 160 | 13,736 | 83 | 10,576 | 274 | 4194 | 47 | 81,053 | 1311 | 53,948 | 1253 |
| 2002 | 20,656 | 90 | 41,354 | 336 | 19,371 | 156 | 15,888 | 92 | 11,336 | 231 | 4428 | 28 | 85,272 | 1099 | 55,383 | 1071 |
| 2003 | 22,846 | 91 | 50,954 | 314 | 22,729 | 137 | 16,749 | 81 | 11,748 | 201 | 4388 | 30 | 89,081 | 841 | 56,579 | 849 |
| 2004 | 24,783 | 67 | 61,432 | 264 | 27,025 | 118 | 18,362 | 65 | 12,229 | 143 | 4704 | 47 | 90,278 | 655 | 59,322 | 686 |
| 2005 | 27,482 | 51 | 74,257 | 229 | 29,392 | 80 | 19,465 | 65 | 12,323 | 111 | 5041 | 28 | 89,343 | 411 | 67,517 | 513 |
| 2006 | 30,979 | 34 | 90,737 | 81 | 32,342 | 45 | 21,911 | 34 | 12,640 | 69 | 5687 | 25 | 92,928 | 236 | 64,769 | 308 |
| 2007 | 35,953 | 10 | 98,329 | 41 | 33,570 | 23 | 27,661 | 19 | 12,555 | 20 | 6510 | 11 | 89,766 | 100 | 66,043 | 177 |
| Total | 235,679 | 758 | 529,856 | 2142 | 222,555 | 1114 | 168,759 | 707 | 114,484 | 1989 | 47,236 | 373 | 857,157 | 9181 | 587,093 | 9304 |

A, Number of papers receiving at least 100 citations; *Data collected on 22 November 2009; **Data collected on 25 January 2010.

Table 2. Number of papers and papers with 100 or more citations from India and China published during 1998–2007

| Year | India* | | | China** | | |
|-------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| | No. of papers | Papers with ≥ 100 citations | Sum of citations | No. of papers | Papers with ≥ 100 citations | Sum of citations |
| 1998 | 17,629 | 104 | 22,953 | 20,621 | 170 | 30,414 |
| 1999 | 18,073 | 92 | 17,198 | 24,639 | 193 | 35,273 |
| 2000 | 18,137 | 111 | 21,757 | 31,028 | 261 | 43,930 |
| 2001 | 19,141 | 115 | 19,230 | 36,505 | 277 | 54,161 |
| 2002 | 20,656 | 92 | 20,336 | 41,354 | 344 | 61,129 |
| 2003 | 22,846 | 93 | 19,198 | 50,954 | 343 | 61,002 |
| 2004 | 24,783 | 69 | 15,753 | 61,432 | 282 | 46,834 |
| 2005 | 27,482 | 52 | 9544 | 74,257 | 246 | 44,444 |
| 2006 | 30,979 | 37 | 8450 | 90,737 | 94 | 17,423 |
| 2007 | 35,953 | 11 | 1378 | 98,329 | 50 | 8,602 |
| Total | 235,679 ⁺ | 776 | 155,797 | 529,856 [†] | 2260 | 403,212 |

*Data collected on 12 December 2009; **Data collected on 12 January 2010; [†]0.321% of Indian papers and 0.404% of Chinese papers have been cited at least 100 times.

than 100 citations and the sum of citations received by these highly cited papers. Overall, the 776 Indian papers that have been cited at least 100 times have accumulated 155,797 citations for an average of 200.8 cites per paper, and the 2,260 Chinese papers with at least 100 citations have been cited 403,212 times for an average of 178.4 cites per paper. When looked at from another angle, 70 of India's 776 highly cited papers (9%) are cited more than 300 times, compared to 173 of the 2,260 highly cited Chinese papers (7.65%). As expected, papers published in recent years are cited less often than those published in earlier years.

More than 29% of the 776 highly cited Indian papers are review articles, compared to 10% for China. These have received about 227 and 230 cites per paper respectively. The 519 original research papers from India have received on average 192 cites per paper and the 1,943 original research papers from China have received 173 cites per paper.

Papers in high impact journals

Often science administrators and policy makers look at the number of papers published in influential journals when evaluating individuals and institutions. Table 3 lists more than 30 journals in which Indian and Chinese researchers have published their highly cited papers. China has published a far higher proportion of highly cited papers in high impact journals such as *Nature* (impact factor 28.75), *Nature Medicine* (26.38), *Science* (26.37), *New England Journal of Medicine* (52.59), *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)* (25.55) and *Lancet* (28.64) than India. Use of journals in different impact factor ranges by Indian and Chinese scientists to

publish their highly cited papers is shown in Table 4. Indian researchers have used 317 journals in all to publish 776 highly cited papers that have received a sum of 155,797 citations. Of these, 165 papers have appeared in journals of impact factor (*JCR* 2007) higher than 10.0, and these have received 38,648 citations. Chinese researchers have used 557 journals to publish 2,260 highly cited papers and these have received 403,212 citations. Of these 510 papers were published in 50 journals of impact factor higher than 10, and these have received 129,506 citations. Of the 27,973 papers published in *Nature* in 10 years, only 220 have come from China and only 115 have come from India. Of the 26,768 papers published in *Science* in 10 years, 310 have come from China and 101 from India. Chinese researchers have published 50 highly cited papers in *Lancet* compared to 13 by Indian researchers. In *New England Journal of Medicine*, Chinese and Indian researchers have published respectively 32 and 5 papers. In *Nature Genetics* (impact factor 25.56), China has 17 highly cited papers against four from India. In *Nature Medicine* (impact factor 26.38), China has published 13 highly cited papers against one from India. In *JAMA* (impact factor 25.55), Chinese researchers have published 10 highly cited papers as against three by Indian researchers.

The 220 papers from China in *Nature* have accumulated 34,167 citations for an average of 155.3 citations per paper. Of these 220 papers, only 43 were written by Chinese authors without international collaboration and these entirely domestic papers have won 3,544 citations for an average of 82.4 citations per paper. Chinese authors have collaborated with authors from 45 countries in 177 papers published in *Nature* and these have won 30,623 citations for an average of 173 cites per paper. Chinese authors have collaborated with authors from 10

Table 3. Journals used by Indian and Chinese authors to publish papers with 100 or more citations

| Journal | Country | China | | India | | Impact factor* |
|--|-----------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|
| | | No. of papers | Sum of citations | No. of papers | Sum of citations | |
| <i>Nature</i> | England | 72 | 28,864 | 28 | 7,350 | 28.751 |
| <i>Science</i> | USA | 81 | 20,076 | 16 | 4,409 | 26.372 |
| <i>Phys. Rev. Lett.</i> | USA | 110 | 19,531 | 65 | 11,171 | 6.944 |
| <i>Lancet</i> | England | 50 | 14,662 | 13 | 4,380 | 28.638 |
| <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> | USA | 92 | 13,837 | 15 | 2,279 | 7.885 |
| <i>Appl. Phys. Lett.</i> | USA | 67 | 12,338 | 6 | 915 | 3.596 |
| <i>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.</i> | Germany | 69 | 11,499 | 11 | 2,138 | 10.031 |
| <i>N. Engl. J. Med.</i> | USA | 32 | 9,726 | 5 | 1,082 | 52.589 |
| <i>Advan. Mater.</i> | Germany | 62 | 9,333 | 3 | 890 | 8.191 |
| <i>Chem. Mater</i> | USA | 43 | 6,413 | 9 | 1,532 | 4.883 |
| <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> | USA | 32 | 4,753 | 7 | 1,086 | 9.598 |
| <i>Phys. Lett. B</i> | The Netherlands | 24 | 4,345 | 8 | 4,465 | 4.189 |
| <i>J. Phys. Chem. B</i> | USA | 27 | 4,170 | 10 | 1,393 | 4.086 |
| <i>Inorg. Chem.</i> | USA | 28 | 4,062 | 4 | 502 | 4.123 |
| <i>Phys. Lett. A</i> | The Netherlands | 20 | 3,753 | 1 | 110 | 1.711 |
| <i>Chem. Commun.</i> | England | 25 | 3,380 | 9 | 1,389 | 5.141 |
| <i>Am. J. Hum. Genet.</i> | USA | 13 | 3,345 | 2 | 310 | 11.092 |
| <i>J. Phys. G. Nucl. Particle Phys.</i> | England | 2 | 3,318 | 2 | 3,301 | 3.485 |
| <i>Anal. Chem.</i> | USA | 16 | 3,189 | — | — | 5.287 |
| <i>Mat. Sci. Eng. R.</i> | Switzerland | 13 | 2,992 | — | — | 14.400 |
| <i>Cancer Res.</i> | USA | 20 | 2,846 | — | — | 7.672 |
| <i>Nature Genet.</i> | USA | 17 | 2,837 | 4 | 685 | 25.556 |
| <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> | USA | 19 | 2,822 | 8 | 1,054 | 3.172 |
| <i>J. Clin. Oncol.</i> | USA | 6 | 2,488 | 4 | 1,112 | 15.484 |
| <i>Langmuir</i> | USA | 18 | 2,484 | 4 | 453 | 4.009 |
| <i>Phys. Rev. A</i> | USA | 18 | 2,419 | 2 | 260 | 2.893 |
| <i>Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A</i> | The Netherlands | 7 | 2,303 | 5 | 2,853 | 1.114 |
| <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> | USA | 14 | 2,302 | 4 | 494 | 5.581 |
| <i>Astrophys. J.</i> | USA | 14 | 2,287 | 7 | 1,212 | 6.405 |
| <i>Chem. Phys. Lett.</i> | The Netherlands | 16 | 2,221 | 4 | 582 | 2.207 |
| <i>Chaos Soliton Fractal</i> | England | 14 | 2,205 | — | — | 3.025 |
| <i>Nature Med.</i> | USA | 13 | 2,172 | 1 | 223 | 26.382 |
| <i>Acc. Chem. Res.</i> | USA | 11 | 2,138 | 8 | 1,946 | 16.214 |
| <i>Gastroenterology</i> | USA | 11 | 2,072 | — | — | 11.673 |
| <i>J. Am. Med. Assoc.</i> | USA | 10 | 2,070 | 3 | 432 | 25.547 |
| <i>Macromolecules</i> | USA | 16 | 2,034 | 1 | 270 | 4.411 |
| <i>Chem. Rev.</i> | USA | 10 | 2,020 | 15 | 4,264 | 22.757 |
| <i>J. High Energy Phys.</i> | Italy | — | — | 14 | 3,234 | 5.659 |

317 journals were used by Indian researchers to publish 776 papers which have been cited at least 100 times.

457 journals were used by Chinese researchers to publish 2,260 papers which have been cited at least 100 times.

*Impact factor values taken from *Journal Citations Reports (JCR)* 2007.

Table 4. Journals publishing Indian and Chinese papers with 100 or more citations distributed by impact factor range

| Impact factor range | India | | | China | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| | No. of journals | No. of papers | Sum of citations | No. of journals | No. of papers | Sum of citations |
| > 0 ≤ 1 | 12 | 16 | 2,324 | 36 | 65 | 10,333 |
| > 1 ≤ 2 | 57 | 74 | 14,221 | 123 | 228 | 36,655 |
| > 2 ≤ 3 | 64 | 110 | 18,240 | 112 | 257 | 40,411 |
| > 3 ≤ 4 | 44 | 90 | 22,154 | 83 | 293 | 51,351 |
| > 4 ≤ 5 | 33 | 86 | 16,060 | 47 | 271 | 40,741 |
| > 5 ≤ 10 | 65 | 231 | 43,517 | 90 | 613 | 96,038 |
| > 10 ≤ 20 | 27 | 66 | 12,843 | 36 | 263 | 54,683 |
| > 20 ≤ 30 | 9 | 89 | 24,048 | 12 | 213 | 59,816 |
| > 30 | 3 | 10 | 1,757 | 2 | 34 | 10,007 |
| Not listed | 3 | 4 | 633 | 16 | 23 | 3,177 |
| Total | 317 | 776 | 155,797 | 557 | 2260 | 403,212 |

countries to write 10 or more papers. The major collaborators come from USA (133), England (40), Japan (29) and Germany (27).

The 115 papers from India in *Nature* have been cited 8,828 times for an average of 76.7 citations per paper. Of these, 65 papers are written exclusively by Indian authors without foreign collaborators, of which five have been cited at least 100 times. The 65 exclusively Indian papers have been cited 1,691 times for an average of 26 citations per paper. Indian authors have written 50 papers in collaboration with researchers from 35 countries, USA (38), England (12) and France (10) being the most preferred among them. These 50 papers were cited 7137 times for an average of 142.7 cites per paper.

The 310 papers published by Chinese researchers in *Science* in the 10 years have been cited 26,522 times for an average of 85.6 citations per paper, far less than the average number of citations for papers published by Chinese authors in *Nature*. Of these, 104 papers were written exclusively by Chinese authors and these have been cited 4,105 times for an average of 39.5 citations per paper. Chinese researchers have collaborated with researchers from 58 countries to write 206 papers in *Science*, with nine of them accounting for at least 10 papers. The prominent collaborating countries are USA (161), Germany (33) and France (28). These 206 papers were cited 22,417 times for an average of 108.8 cites per paper.

The 101 papers written by Indian researchers in *Science* have received 6,585 citations for an average of 65.2 citations per paper. Of these, 38 papers were written exclusively by Indian researchers and these were cited 605 times for an average of 15.9 citations per paper. Indian researchers have collaborated with authors from 64 countries in publishing 63 papers in *Science* and these were cited 5,980 times for an average of 94.9 cites per paper. The prolific collaborating countries are USA (48), England (16), Germany (9) and Japan (9).

In the ten years considered Chinese researchers have published 1,415 papers in *Physical Review Letters* (impact factor 6.944) and these papers have been cited 54,079 times till 16 March 2010, for an average of 38.2 cites per paper. Of these, 335 papers were written exclusively by Chinese authors (with no foreign collaborators) and these have been cited 10,361 times for an average of 30.9 cites per paper. The 1,080 papers in *Physical Review Letters* written by Chinese and foreign researchers were cited 43,718 times for an average of 40.5 cites per paper. The collaborating authors come from 51 countries, the most prominent among them being USA (803 papers), Germany (446), Russia (411) and France (301).

During the same period Indian researchers had published 842 papers in *Physical Review Letters*, with 264 of them written exclusively by researchers working in India and the rest in collaboration with authors from 46 countries, the prominent among them being USA (426 papers), Russia (279), and Germany, China and South Korea (254

each). The 842 papers were cited 31,153 times (up to 16 March 2010) for an average of 37 cites per paper. The 264 entirely domestic papers were cited 6,765 times for an average of 25.6 cites per paper. The 578 internationally coauthored papers were cited 24,388 times for an average of 42.2 cites per paper.

Chinese scientists have published 832 papers in 1998–2007 in the *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (impact factor 7.885) and these have been cited 40,488 times for an average of 48.7 cites per paper. Of these, 307 papers were written in collaboration with foreign authors. The 525 entirely domestic papers were cited 27,436 times for an average of 52.3 cites per paper. The 307 papers written with overseas co-authors have been cited 13,052 times for an average of 42.5 cites per paper. The co-authors are mainly from USA (176 papers), Japan (51) and Germany (30).

During the same 10 years, Indian researchers published 199 papers in the *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, of which 70 were co-authored with foreign researchers, mostly working in USA (37), Germany (10) and Japan (8). The 199 papers were cited 8,017 times up to 16 March 2010, for an average of 40.3 cites per paper. The 129 entirely domestic papers were cited 5016 times, for an average of 38.9 cites per paper. The 70 papers with foreign collaborators accumulated 3001 citations for an average of 42.9 cites per paper.

Clearly, both India and China papers resulting from international collaboration are cited much more often than those written exclusively by home country authors. (One exception is the Chinese papers published in the *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, where papers written with foreign collaborators were cited less often than those without foreign coauthors.) We have noticed the trend of papers with international collaboration appearing in higher impact journals and getting cited more often than purely domestic papers in our earlier studies as well^{24,25}.

It is not always that highly cited papers appear in high impact journals. For example, a paper from China published in the *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* (impact factor 2.89) has received 1,029 citations. A Chinese paper published in *Process Biochemistry* (impact factor 2.33) has been cited 883 times.

Distribution by journal country

The countries of origin of journals in which India and China publish their highly cited papers are shown in Table 5. Clearly, USA, England and the Netherlands are the homes of journals often used by Indian and Chinese researchers to publish their better-cited papers. Papers published in the home-country journals rarely become highly cited. Of the more than 770 highly cited papers from India, only two were published in an Indian journal

Table 5. Journals publishing papers from India and China with 100 or more citations distributed by journal country

| Country | India | | | China | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| | No. of journals | No. of papers | Sum of citations | No. of journals | No. of papers | Sum of citations |
| USA | 140 | 397 | 79,415 | 260 | 1323 | 223,641 |
| Australia | 2 | 2 | 210 | 1 | 1 | 152 |
| Austria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 261 |
| Canada | 2 | 3 | 432 | 3 | 3 | 611 |
| Denmark | 3 | 3 | 810 | 1 | 1 | 176 |
| England | 92 | 215 | 41,278 | 158 | 472 | 102,360 |
| France | 3 | 6 | 725 | 3 | 7 | 943 |
| Germany | 13 | 27 | 5071 | 18 | 171 | 27,178 |
| Greece | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 236 |
| India | 1 | 2 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 3 | 4 | 518 | 2 | 2 | 303 |
| Italy | 1 | 14 | 3234 | 1 | 1 | 169 |
| Japan | 1 | 1 | 110 | 6 | 6 | 1,092 |
| The Netherlands | 44 | 81 | 19,181 | 69 | 192 | 32,416 |
| New Zealand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 111 |
| Peoples Republic of China | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 1,845 |
| Romania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 327 |
| Singapore | 3 | 6 | 2,115 | 2 | 9 | 2,108 |
| Spain | 1 | 1 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Switzerland | 8 | 14 | 2,221 | 19 | 53 | 9,283 |
| Total | 317 | 776 | 155,797 | 557 | 2260 | 403,212 |

Table 6. Collaborating countries in papers with 100 or more citations from India and China during 1998–2007

| Country | India | | | China | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | No. of papers | Sum of citations | Cites per paper | No. of papers | Sum of citations | Cites per paper |
| India | 776 | 155,797 | 200.8 | 82* | 20,175* | 246.0 |
| USA | 303 | 75,448 | 249.0 | 804 | 165,072 | 205.31 |
| Germany | 129 | 40,934 | 317.3 | 234 | 57,666 | 246.4 |
| England | 108 | 37,243 | 344.8 | 204 | 61,418 | 301.1 |
| France | 105 | 35,888 | 341.8 | 181 | 48,919 | 270.3 |
| Japan | 83 | 32,198 | 387.9 | 186 | 50,452 | 271.2 |
| Russia | 79 | 30,638 | 387.8 | 103 | 24,564 | 238.5 |
| Sweden | 56 | 25,778 | 460.3 | 75 | 20,191 | 269.2 |
| Italy | 51 | 25,142 | 493.0 | 97 | 25,970 | 267.7 |
| Canada | 49 | 24,985 | 509.9 | 132 | 31,882 | 241.5 |
| Switzerland | 52 | 24,369 | 468.3 | 71 | 18,853 | 265.5 |
| Spain | 35 | 21,262 | 607.5 | 51 | 13,614 | 267.0 |
| Peoples Republic of China | 81* | 19,801* | 244.5 | 2260 | 403,212 | 178.4 |
| Finland | 15 | 17,979 | 1198.6 | 22 | 8,397 | 381.7 |
| Scotland | 18 | 16,473 | 915.2 | 54 | 16,767 | 310.5 |
| Australia | 41 | 16,425 | 400.6 | 120 | 29,177 | 243.1 |
| South Korea | 49 | 16,376 | 334.2 | 79 | 18,574 | 235.1 |
| Israel | 42 | 14,024 | 333.9 | 42 | 20,335 | 484.2 |
| Brazil | 56 | 11,328 | 202.3 | 71 | 15,066 | 212.2 |

*The differences in number of papers and sum of citations are because data for China were collected a month later than those for India.

(Pandey, A. *et al.*, *Curr. Sci.*, 1999, **77**, 149–162; Majumdar, S. N., *Curr. Sci.*, 1999, **77**, 370–375). China fared slightly better with 14 papers published in nine Chinese journals winning more than 100 citations.

Many of the highly cited papers from both India and China were written in collaboration with researchers from other countries, mainly USA, Germany, England,

France and Japan. In Table 6 we present data on the number of papers written collaboratively with foreign authors. Chinese researchers have collaborated with researchers in 93 countries and Indian researchers have collaborated with researchers in 91 countries to write papers that eventually received 100 or more citations.

Table 7. Indian papers with 100 or more citations distributed by institution

| Institution | No. of papers | Sum of citations | Cites per paper | No. of papers with more than 100 authors | Sum of citations | Cites per paper |
|---|---------------|------------------|-----------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| Tata Inst Fundamental Res, Mumbai | 59 | 25,875 | 438.6 | 23 | 18,570 | 807.4 |
| Indian Inst Sci, Bangalore | 72 | 13,500 | 187.5 | 3 | 527 | 175.7 |
| Jawaharlal Nehru Ctr Adv Sci Res, Bangalore | 44 | 9,021 | 205 | | | |
| Panjab Univ, Chandigarh | 40 | 7,312 | 182.8 | 29 | 5,675 | 195.7 |
| Banaras Hindu Univ, Varanasi | 36 | 6,609 | 183.6 | 19 | 3,931 | 206.9 |
| Bhabha Atom Res Ctr, Mumbai | 35 | 6,176 | 176.5 | 20 | 4,200 | 210 |
| Inter Univ Ctr Astron & Astrophys, Pune | 26 | 5,370 | 206.5 | 1 | 162 | 162 |
| Indian Inst Technol, Kanpur | 25 | 5,207 | 208.3 | | | |
| Harish Chandra Res Inst, Allahabad | 24 | 4,975 | 207.3 | 1 | 110 | 110 |
| Univ Hyderabad, Hyderabad | 20 | 4,961 | 248.1 | | | |
| Indian Inst Technol, Bombay | 24 | 4,337 | 180.7 | 5 | 1,192 | 238.4 |
| Natl Chem Lab, Pune | 24 | 3,749 | 156.2 | | | |
| All India Inst Med Sci, New Delhi | 17 | 3,735 | 219.7 | | | |
| Natl Ctr Biol Sci, TIFR, Bangalore | 12 | 3,266 | 272.2 | | | |
| Univ Delhi, New Delhi | 18 | 3,110 | 172.8 | 7 | 1,436 | 205.1 |
| Reg Res Lab, Thiruvananthapuram | 18 | 2,661 | 147.8 | | | |
| Jaslok Hosp & Res Ctr, Mumbai | 3 | 2,411 | 803.7 | | | |
| Inst Phys, Bhubaneswar | 11 | 2,311 | 210.1 | 10 | 2,211 | 221.1 |
| Indian Assoc Cultivation Sci, Kolkata | 14 | 2,299 | 164.2 | | | |
| Utkal Univ, Bhubaneswar | 10 | 2,294 | 229.4 | 10 | 2,294 | 229.4 |
| Variable Energy Cyclotron Ctr (BARC), Kolkata | 10 | 2,211 | 221.1 | 10 | 2,211 | 221.1 |
| Univ Jammu, Jammu | 10 | 2,211 | 221.1 | 10 | 2,211 | 221.1 |
| Univ Rajasthan, Jaipur | 10 | 2,211 | 221.1 | 10 | 2,211 | 221.1 |
| Indian Inst Technol, Delhi | 13 | 1,995 | 153.5 | | | |
| Indian Inst Technol, Kharagpur | 13 | 1,984 | 152.6 | | | |
| Indian Inst Chem Technol, Hyderabad | 13 | 1,728 | 133 | | | |
| Inst Bioinformat, Bangalore | 8 | 1,570 | 196.25 | | | |
| Inst Math Sci, Chennai | 7 | 1,512 | 216 | | | |
| Phys Res Lab, Ahmedabad | 7 | 1,438 | 205.4 | | | |
| Indian Stat Inst, Kolkata | 8 | 1,344 | 168 | | | |
| Bose Inst, Kolkata | 9 | 1,300 | 144.4 | | | |
| King Edward Mem Hosp, Pune | 6 | 1,259 | 209.8 | | | |
| Natl Phys Lab, New Delhi | 5 | 1,256 | 251.2 | | | |
| Raman Res Inst, Bangalore | 8 | 1,216 | 152 | | | |
| Indian Inst Technol, Madras | 7 | 1,158 | 165.4 | | | |
| Jadavpur Univ, Kolkata | 8 | 1,126 | 140.7 | | | |
| Univ Roorkee, Roorkee | 7 | 1,118 | 159.7 | | | |
| Indian Agr Res Inst, New Delhi | 3 | 1,004 | 334.7 | 1 | 677 | 677 |

Distribution by institution

Researchers from 228 institutions in India have contributed to more than 770 papers, with 100 or more citations coming from India (Table 7). Prominent among them are the Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore (72 papers and 13,500 citations), Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (TIFR), Mumbai (59 and 25,875), and Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research (JNCASR), Bangalore (44 and 9021). Among the Universities, Panjab University, Chandigarh (40 and 7,312) and Banaras Hindu University (BHU), Varanasi (36 and 6,609) figure prominently. But, 23 of the 59 papers from TIFR, 29 of the 40 papers from Panjab University and 19 of the 36 papers from BHU are internationally co-authored and have more than 100 authors. These are mostly papers in high energy physics. It is difficult to assess the part played by the Indian authors in papers with a large number of authors. All the 10 papers

from Utkal University, Bhubaneswar; University of Rajasthan, Jaipur and University of Jammu, Jammu are also of this kind, as well as 10 of the 11 papers from Institute of Physics (IOP), Bhubaneswar.

We would like to point out that the papers assigned to JNCASR include at least three written by authors primarily working in other institutions:

- Kaul, R. and Balaram, P., Stereochemical control of peptide folding. *Bioinorg. Med. Chem.*, 1999.
- Maitra, U., Mukhopadhyay, S., Sarkar, A., Rao, P. and Indi, S. S., Hydrophobic pockets in a nonpolymeric aqueous gel: Observation of such a gelation process by color change. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Eng.*, 2001.
- Sudeep, P. K., Ipe, B. I., Thomas, K. G., George, M. V., Barazzouk, S., Hotchandani, S. and Kamat, P. V., Fullerene-functionalized gold nanoparticles. A self-assembled photoactive antenna-metal nanocore assembly. *Nano Lett.*, 2002.

Table 8. Distribution of highly cited papers by institutional type

| Institutional type | No. of institutions | No. of papers | Sum of citations | Cites per paper |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Academia | 107 | 453 | 82,201 | 181.5 |
| DAE | 10 | 152 | 44,692 | 294.0 |
| CSIR | 20 | 105 | 15,530 | 147.9 |
| DST | 13 | 86 | 15,459 | 179.8 |
| Private Hospitals | 16 | 26 | 6,694 | 257.5 |
| NGO | 10 | 19 | 3,637 | 191.4 |
| ICMR | 9 | 12 | 2,088 | 174.0 |
| ICAR | 5 | 7 | 1,574 | 224.9 |
| Department of Space | 2 | 7 | 1,438 | 205.4 |
| Company | 8 | 9 | 1,280 | 142.2 |
| International | 5 | 7 | 1,161 | 165.9 |
| State Governments | 7 | 7 | 999 | 142.7 |
| DBT | 3 | 8 | 967 | 120.9 |
| MoES | 1 | 3 | 440 | 146.7 |
| MoWR | 1 | 3 | 352 | 117.3 |
| School | 1 | 2 | 351 | 175.5 |
| MoEF | 3 | 2 | 301 | 150.5 |
| ICSSR | 1 | 1 | 248 | 248.0 |
| DRDO | 1 | 2 | 213 | 106.5 |
| Central Government Hospitals | 1 | 1 | 184 | 184.0 |
| MoP | 1 | 1 | 135 | 135.0 |
| MoC | 1 | 1 | 133 | 133.0 |
| MoCIT | 1 | 1 | 116 | 116.0 |
| MNCs | 1 | 1 | 100 | 100.0 |

Balaram and Maitra are professors at IISc, but in these papers they have given JNCASR as an additional affiliation. In the third paper (DOI: 10.1021/nl010073w) the byline includes two addresses, viz. Photochemistry Research Unit, Regional Research Laboratory (CSIR), Thiruvananthapuram 695 019, India, and Notre Dame Radiation Laboratory, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556; and yet *Web of Science* lists JNCASR as an additional address. It is likely that George had indicated (in a footnote) his affiliation to JNCASR.

For some reason, often the rendering of JNCASR in the address field takes the form: Indian Institute of Science, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Chemistry and Physics of Materials Unit, Bangalore 560 064, Karnataka, India.

Another tricky institutional entry concerns Panjab University, Chandigarh. In some places the rendering in *Web of Science* takes the form ‘Punjab University, Chandigarh’ or ‘Punjabi University, Chandigarh’. Punjab University is in Lahore, Pakistan, and Punjabi University is in Patiala, Punjab, India. Wherever Chandigarh follows the university name, we checked the original paper online and found the correct university name to be Panjab University, Chandigarh. This is a mistake introduced at the data entry stage at Thomson Reuters.

Many Department of Atomic Energy (DAE) laboratories figure prominently in Table 7. Apart from TIFR, we find Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (35 highly cited papers and 6,576 citations), Harish Chandra Research Institute (24 and 4,975), National Centre for Biological

Sciences (12 and 3,226), Institute of Physics (11 and 2,311), Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata (10 and 2,211) and Institute of Mathematical Sciences (7 highly cited papers and 1,512 citations).

Table 8 provides data on the distribution of these papers by institutional type. During the 10 years considered only three sectors, viz. academia (453 papers), Department of Atomic Energy (152) and CSIR (105) have published more than 100 papers that have gone on to be cited at least 100 times. Next is the Department of Science and Technology with 86 papers that have been cited at least 100 times. However, the average number of citations for papers from CSIR and DST laboratories is far below the all-India average. Even papers from academia as a whole (including IISc and Indian Institutes of Technology (IITs) at Bombay, Delhi, Kharagpur and Madras) have received fewer citations than the national average. Papers from both DAE and private hospitals (26 papers), however, have significantly higher cites per paper than the all-India average. In the case of DAE, part of this comes from participation by its scientists in high energy particle physics experiments involving large international teams. For example, the five most highly cited papers of TIFR have the same title ‘Review of particle physics’, were written by an international team of more than 100 authors, were published between 1998 and 2006, and have together been cited 14,565 times. A sixth paper on GEANT4 with more than 100 authors published in *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A* has been cited 1,758 times. If we remove such highly

Table 9. Chinese papers with 100 or more citations distributed by institution

| Institution | No. of papers cited at least 100 times | Sum of citations | Cites per paper | No. of papers with more than 100 authors | Sum of citations | Cites per paper |
|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------|
| Univ Hong Kong, Hong Kong | 301 | 66,381 | 220.53 | 3 | 3946 | 1315.3 |
| Chinese Univ Hong Kong, Hong Kong | 223 | 45,686 | 204.87 | 3 | 3946 | 1315.3 |
| Peking Univ, Beijing | 186 | 34,657 | 186.33 | 20 | 4789 | 239.45 |
| Univ Sci & Technol China, Hefei | 131 | 22,903 | 174.83 | 35 | 6075 | 173.57 |
| Hong Kong Univ Sci & Technol, Hong Kong | 128 | 26,743 | 208.93 | 3 | 3946 | 1315.3 |
| Tsing Hua Univ, Beijing | 121 | 19,566 | 161.7 | 8 | 1547 | 193.37 |
| City Univ Hong Kong, Hong Kong | 96 | 16,617 | 173.09 | | | |
| Fudan Univ, Shanghai | 89 | 15,348 | 172.45 | 2 | 1788 | 894 |
| Nanjing Univ, Nanjing | 86 | 12,556 | 146 | | | |
| Chinese Acad Sci, Inst Chem, Beijing | 80 | 13,535 | 169.19 | | | |
| Chinese Acad Sci, Inst High Energy Phys, Beijing | 70 | 17,152 | 245.03 | 17 | 2836 | 166.82 |
| Chinese Acad Sci, Inst Phys, Beijing | 66 | 9,749 | 147.71 | | | |
| Zhejiang Univ, Hangzhou | 61 | 10,330 | 169.34 | 7 | 2618 | 374 |
| Shanghai Jiao Tong Univ, Shanghai | 53 | 8,217 | 155.04 | 5 | 677 | 135.4 |
| Zhongshan Univ, Guangzhou | 46 | 7,051 | 153.28 | 1 | 177 | 177 |
| Jilin Univ, Changchun | 46 | 7,013 | 152.46 | | | |
| Sun Yat Sen Univ, Guangzhou | 46 | 6,197 | 134.72 | 1 | 224 | 224 |
| Hong Kong Polytech Univ, Hong Kong | 41 | 6,386 | 155.76 | | | |
| Queen Mary Hosp, Hong Kong | 33 | 7,789 | 236.03 | | | |
| Chinese Acad Sci, Shanghai Inst Biol Sci, Shanghai | 31 | 5,542 | 178.77 | 1 | 691 | 691 |
| Nankai Univ, Tianjin | 31 | 4,812 | 155.23 | 3 | 371 | 371 |
| Beijing Normal Univ, Beijing | 30 | 7,519 | 250.63 | 4 | 4207 | 1051.75 |
| Chinese Acad Sci, Inst Met Res, Shenyang | 25 | 5,007 | 200.28 | | | |
| Xiamen Univ, Xiamen | 25 | 3,903 | 156.12 | | | |
| Chinese Acad Sci, Shanghai Inst Organ Chem, Shanghai | 24 | 3,604 | 150.17 | | | |
| Chinese Natl Human Genome Ctr Shanghai, Shanghai | 21 | 13,859 | 659.95 | 2 | 3322 | 1661 |
| Chinese Acad Sci, Fujian Inst Res Struct Matter, Fujian | 21 | 3,005 | 143.1 | | | |
| China Inst Atom Energy, Beijing | 20 | 4,298 | 214.9 | 13 | 2567 | 150.76 |
| Chinese Acad Sci, Changchun Inst Appl Chem, Changchun | 20 | 2,788 | 139.4 | | | |

Authors from 528 Chinese institutions have published papers with 100 or more citations.

cited papers written by large international teams (as outliers), then the average cites per paper for DAE will be much less than the present 294, the national average will be much lower than 200 and papers from institutions such as IISc and IITs will have higher average citation rates than the national average. The high citation rates of papers from IOP, Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, University of Jammu, Utkal University and University of Rajasthan are also because of such international collaborations in particle physics.

The distribution of highly cited Chinese papers by institution is presented in Table 9. Some institutions have appeared under more than one name, e.g. Peking University and Beijing University. Chinese National Human Genome Centre Shanghai, Shanghai has been rendered in at least four variants. The institutes under the Chinese Academy of Sciences were not always rendered in a uniform fashion. It was earlier known as Academia Sinica. We spent considerable time to ensure that all papers from a given institution were attributed to it.

Twenty-nine Chinese institutions have published at least 20 papers that have gone on to be cited 100 times or more often. Among these six are in Hong Kong. Of the

top seven institutions publishing a large number of highly-cited papers, four are universities in Hong Kong, the other three being Peking University, Beijing; University of S&T of China, Hefei, and Tsing Hua University, Beijing. Beijing and Shanghai are the two major centres of research in the mainland with seven and six of the 29 institutions located respectively, in these two cities. Other prominent centres of research in China are Changchun and Guangzhou (with two of the top 29 institutions in each of them), Hefei, Hangzhou, Nanjing, Fujian, Tianjin, Xiamen and Shenyang. Of the top 29 institutions, seven come under the Chinese Academy of Sciences and 19 are universities. China Institute of Atom Energy, Beijing; Chinese National Human Genome Centre Shanghai, Shanghai, and Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong complete the top 29 institutions.

In terms of citations, Chinese National Human Genome Centre Shanghai, Shanghai, tops the list with 660 cites per paper, followed by Beijing Normal University, Beijing (30 papers and 251 cites per paper), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing (70 and 245), Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong (33 and 236), and University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong (301 papers and 220.5 cites per paper).

Single-author papers

Of the 776 highly cited papers from India, 53 are by single authors and these were written by 34 researchers. These were cited 10,988 times for an average of 207.3 cites per paper. Ashoke Sen (Harish Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad) leads the list with 12 single-author papers which together have been cited 3,039 times by 12 December 2009. Gautam Desiraju (University of Hyderabad; now at the Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, IISc) has six papers which have been cited 1,460 times. Thanu Padmanabhan (Inter University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pune) has published three single-author papers which together have been cited 1,161 times.

On the other extreme, 103 highly cited Indian papers had at least 20 authors, eight of them had more than 500 authors, three had more than 1000 authors, and two had more than 2000 authors. These papers with a large team of authors had appeared in 36 journals and were almost always in the area of high energy physics, nuclear physics and astrophysics. There were also a few papers in genomics and medical surveys. There were 35 papers in *Physical Review Letters* (6,206 citations), five in *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A* (2,853 citations), four papers in *European Physics Journal C* (5,358 citations), four in *Physical Review D* (3,003 citations), four papers in *Lancet* (2,640 citations) and five papers in *Nature* (1,301 citations).

The overall leader among Indian researchers is C. N. R. Rao (29 papers and 5,518 citations). The average citation rate for his highly cited papers (190.3) is less than the Indian average, but, as pointed out earlier, if we leave out the highly cited papers by large international teams, it will be much above the Indian average. In all these papers he has one or more co-authors. In nine of these papers at least one co-author is from a laboratory outside India. The nine papers with international co-authors were cited 1,406 times and the 20 papers with Indian co-authors were cited 4,112 times. Unlike in the case of most others, Rao's papers co-authored with Indian researchers are cited far more often on average than his papers co-authored with foreign collaborators. His 2004 paper in *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn. Engl.*, cited 845 times, is the second highest cited Indian paper in 10 years considered. Among others who have many highly cited papers are Ashoke Sen (17 papers, 3,786 citations) and Gautam Desiraju (13 papers, 3,339 citations). Kalyanmoy Deb (Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur) had authored three highly-cited papers (2,007 citations), and one of them (*IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 2002, 6, 182–197) became the highest cited Indian paper in the 10-year period considered (1,508 citations).

Of the 2260 highly cited papers from China, 81 are single-author papers written by 54 researchers. These 81 papers have accumulated 14,179 citations by 12 January

2010. J. H. He (Donghua University, Shanghai) has authored 17 of these papers which together have been cited 4,345 times. His research areas include nonlinear mechanics, computational methods in applied mechanics, chaos and fractals. J. D. Cao (South East University, Nanjing) has six single-author papers in the area of neural networks and these have been cited 850 times. S. J. Liao (Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering, Shanghai) has five single-author papers in the area of viscous flow and these have been cited 814 times.

Chinese researchers have published 202 highly cited papers with 20 or more authors, including 198 with foreign co-authors, during 1998–2007. These papers have appeared in 54 journals, including *Nature* (22 papers and 17,458 citations), *Physical Review Letters* (53 and 11,067), *Science* (12 and 3,137), *Physics Letters B* (12 and 1,940), *Lancet* (9 and 3,730) and *Nature Genetics* (8 papers and 1,318 citations). In all, these papers have been cited 62,111 times. Here again nuclear and particle physics papers dominate, but we also find a few papers on genomics, plant genetics and cancer. The three papers with more than a thousand authors that we find in the list of highly cited papers from India have authors from China as well.

We wanted to present data on Chinese authors with a large number of papers and citations, but we faced a considerable challenge in sorting out homonyms.

Distribution of highly cited papers by field

About 31.6% of highly cited Chinese papers (715 of 2,260 papers) have appeared in chemistry journals (not including journals in the areas of chemical engineering and polymer science), and these papers have received 107,887 citations. About 27% of Chinese papers cited 100 or more times (606 of 2,260 papers) have appeared in physics journals and these have been cited 106,328 times. These numbers have to be taken with caution as Thomson Reuters assigns subfield categories on the basis of journal titles and some journals are included in more than one category. Besides, papers in physics and chemistry that have appeared in multidisciplinary journals such as *Nature*, *Science* and *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA*, are not included under these categories. Also 235 papers with 100 or more citations have appeared in materials science journals and these have won 35,857 citations. Besides, 91 papers with over 100 citations have appeared in nanoscience and nanotechnology journals (13,218 citations) and 11 papers with over 100 citations have appeared in metallurgy journals (1,733 citations). Among other areas in which Chinese scientists have published highly cited papers are general and internal medicine (99 papers and 27,673 citations), biochemistry and molecular biology (127 and 21,227), and electrical

and electronic engineering (91 papers and 14,677 citations).

Of the 776 papers from India which have been cited at least 100 times, 222 (28.6%) have appeared in physics journals, and these have received 58,482 citations; 160 papers (20.6%) have appeared in chemistry journals and have been cited 28,488 times, and 50 papers have appeared in materials science journals (8,036 citations). In addition, 47 highly cited papers in biochemistry and molecular biology from India have received 7,403 citations; 22 papers in general and internal medicine with at least 100 citations have received 5,999 citations; 15 highly-cited nanoscience and nanotechnology papers from India have received 2,891 citations, and 15 highly cited papers in electrical and electronic engineering have received 2,785 citations.

India seems to have done better than China in astronomy and astrophysics. India has 43 papers in this area with 100 or more citations (sum of citations 10,838) and China has 44 highly-cited papers (sum of citations 6,567). In mathematics (including statistics and probability), however, China's record appears to be far better: 57 papers with 100 or more citations and a total of 9,869 citations against India's five highly cited papers and 871 citations.

Conclusion

Many indicators have been developed to assess science and national performance of science. As the scientific enterprise matures, these indicators reflect the improved performance. There is a general perception that although the volume of research performed in some of the emerging countries is increasing, there is a lingering doubt about the quality of research performed in these countries. Often the poor (or less than the world average) citation rate is used to confirm this doubt. But always there are exceptions and even in a milieu of mediocrity, one finds a few stand-out performers and performances. It is with this thought that we wanted to identify highly cited papers from India and China. Whereas one can identify unambiguously the number of papers published in certain high impact factor journals, to find out highly cited papers, one has to wait for a few years. And therefore, it will be difficult from the data we have to be able to conclude unequivocally whether India and China are publishing a larger number of better-cited papers in recent years than before. But clearly we can identify researchers who have published papers that have been cited a large number of times.

Are India and China really on the path to world leadership in science? It looks a distinct possibility, especially when the West is going through a period of recession and mounting unemployment, and both China and India are recording high growth rates in both their economies and

in scientific research. But China and India have a long way to go. When asked if the balance of economic power is shifting away from the US to India and China, Sam Pitroda²⁶, Advisor to India's Prime Minister on Infrastructure, Innovation and Information, said, 'I don't think so. I don't think people realize the amount of assets that have been created in the US over the last 50 years in terms of roads, infrastructure, and universities. We will take a long time to bridge that gap. Look at the think tanks in the US. It will take a long time for China and India to catch up. We still have basic problems like lack of water and sanitation'. The situation is not very different in science.

The proportion of papers winning 100 or more citations is as good an indicator as any. Only 0.32% of Indian research papers and 0.4% of Chinese research papers published in refereed journals indexed by Thomson Reuters have gone on to accumulate 100 or more citations. The figures for some selected institutions (chosen at random) are: University of Southern California, USA, 3.47%; Brown University, USA, 3.11%; Rutgers State University, USA, 2.98%; University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2.85%; University of Leiden, The Netherlands, 2.5%; University of British Columbia, Canada, 2.48%; University of Tokyo, Japan, 2.4%; University of Heidelberg, Germany, 2.34%; Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain, 2.18%; University of Melbourne, Australia, 1.85%; University of Grenoble, France, 1.84% and University of Southampton, UK, 1.79%. Both India and China have a long way to go indeed. But what is heartening is that the production of research papers is increasing in India and even more so in China. The next step is for researchers in the two countries to write papers that will be cited far more often than now. As Chairman Mao wrote²⁷, 'Every quality manifests itself in a certain quantity, and without quantity there can be no quality'.

1. National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Globalization of Science and Engineering Research, Arlington, VA (NSB 10-03), January 2010.
2. Wilsdon, J. and Keeley, J., *The Atlas of Ideas: Mapping the New Geography, China: The Next Science Superpower?*, Demos, London, 2007.
3. Bound, K., *The Atlas of Ideas: Mapping the New Geography, India: The Uneven Innovator*, Demos, London, 2007.
4. Webb, M., *The Atlas of Ideas: Mapping the New Geography, South Korea: Mass Innovation Comes of Age*, Demos, London, 2007.
5. Adams, J., King, C. and Nan, M. A., *Global Research Report – Research and Collaboration in the New Geography of Science: China*, Evidence Ltd, London, 2010; <http://researchanalytics.thomsonreuters.com/m/pdfs/grr-china-nov09.pdf>
6. Adams, J., King, C. and Singh, V., *Global Research Report – Research and Collaboration in the New Geography of Science: India*, Evidence Ltd, London, 2009; http://science.thomsonreuters.com/m/pdfs/grr-india-oct09_ag0908174.pdf
7. Adams, J. and King, C., *Global Research Report – Research and Collaboration in the New Geography of Science: Russia*, Evidence Ltd, London, 2010; <http://researchanalytics.thomsonreuters.com/m/pdfs/grr-russia-jan10.pdf>

8. Adams, J. and King, C., *Global Research Report – Research and Collaboration in the New Geography of Science: Brazil*, Evidence Ltd, London, 2009; <http://researchanalytics.thomsonreuters.com/m/pdfs/GRR-Brazil-Jun09.pdf>
9. King, C., India's new millennium in science, *ScienceWatch*, September–October 2008; <http://sciencewatch.com/ana/fea/08sepoctFea>
10. King, C., With output and impact rising, China's science surge rolls. *ScienceWatch*, July–August 2008; <http://sciencewatch.com/ana/fea/08julaugFea/>
11. The White House, Office of the Press Secretary, Remarks by the President in State of the Union Address, 27 January 2010; <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/remarks-president-state-union-address>
12. Kostoff, R. N., Johnson, D., Bowles, C. A. and Simha, D., Assessment of India's research literature, 2006; <http://www.dtic.mil/cgi-in/GetTRDoc?AD=ADA444625&Location=U2&doc=GetTRDoc.pdf>
13. Kostoff, R. N. *et al.*, Assessment of science and technology literature of China and India as reflected in the *SCI/SSCI*. *Curr. Sci.*, 2007, **93**, 1088–1092.
14. Shelton, R. D. and Foland, P., The race for world leadership of science and technology: status and forecasts. In the 12th International Conference on Scientometrics and Informetrics, Rio de Janeiro, July 2009.
15. Leydesdorff, L. and Wagner, C., Is the United States losing ground in science? *Scientometrics*, 2009, **78**, 23–36.
16. Leydesdorff, L. and Zhou, P., Are the contributions of China and Korea upsetting the world system of science? *Scientometrics*, 2005, **63**, 617–630.
17. Arunachalam, S., Mapping life sciences research in India: a profile based on *Biosis* 1992–1994. *Curr. Sci.*, 1999, **76**, 1191–1203.
18. Balam, P., Scientometrics: A dismal science. *Curr. Sci.*, 2008, **95**, 431–432.
19. Meneghini, R., Packer, A. L. and Nassi-Calo, L., Articles by Latin American authors in prestigious journals have fewer citations. *PLoS One*, 25 November 2008, 3, article number: e3804.
20. Campbell, P., Escape from the impact factor. *Ethics Sci. Environ. Politics*, 2008, **8**, 5–7.
21. Pudovkin, A. I. and Garfield, E., Rank-normalized impact factor: a way to compare journal performance across subject categories. In paper presented at the ASIST Annual Meeting, Providence, RI, USA, 17 November 2004.
22. Pudovkin, A. I. and Garfield, E., Percentile rank and author superiority indexes for evaluating individual journal articles and the author's overall citation performance. In Paper presented at the Fifth International Conference on Webometrics, Informetrics and Scientometrics, Tenth COLLNET Meeting, Dalian, China, 13–16 September 2009.
23. Thomson Reuters, *Essential Science Indicators*, 2010.
24. Arunachalam, S., International collaboration in science: The case of India and China. In *The Web of Knowledge: A Festschrift in Honor of Eugene Garfield* (eds Cronin, B. and Atkins, H.), ASIS, 2000, pp. 215–232.
25. Arunachalam, S. and Doss, M. J., Mapping international collaboration in science in Asia through coauthorship analysis. *Curr. Sci.*, 2000, **79**, 621–628.
26. Bureau, F. E., The first phase of the telecom revolution is beginning to end. The second is about to begin. *Financial Express*, 14 March 2010.
27. Mao Tse-Tung, Methods of work of party committees. *Selected Works*, vol. IV, pp. 379–380.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We are grateful to Dr Wu Yishan, ISTIC, Beijing for helping us to identify the Chinese institutions referred to by different names in *Web of Science* (and possibly in the journals). Prof. B. Viswanathan, Catalysis Society of India for helpful discussions and Subbiah Gunasekaran, Central Electrochemical Research Institute, Karaikudi for help in verifying data. S.A. thank the National Institute of Technology, Rourkela and Madhan for the hospitality provided. S.A. was a Visiting Professor at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai when work was commenced on this paper.

Received 11 April 2010; revised accepted 27 July 2010